C3udcar1 Trial 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 ARTURO CARAVANTES and 3 FRANCISCO SOTARRIBA, 4 Plaintiffs 5 09 Civ. 7821 (RPP) v. 6 53RD STREET PARTNERS, LLC d/b/a REMI RESTAURANT 7 and OSCAR VELANDIA, 8 Defendants -----x 9 New York, N.Y. March 30 2012 10 9:52 a.m. Before: 11 HON. ROBERT P. PATTERSON, JR. 12 District Judge 13 **APPEARANCES** PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP 14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs BY: AARON S. DELANEY 15 MAYUR P. SAXENA 16 MOIRA KIM PENZA JORDAN FEIT 17 URBAN JUSTICE CENTER Attorney for Plaintiffs 18 BY: NICOLE HALLETT 19 EPSTEIN BECKER & GREEN PC 20 Attorneys for Defendants KERRY M. PARKER 21 ALKIDA KACANI 22 - also present -23 JOHN MATT - Spanish Language Interpreter LILIANA HALAC - Spanish Language Interpreter 24 BRIAN DONOVAN - Plaintiff paralegal RANDALL CARTER - Plaintiff AV Tech 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1		(Trial	resumed)
---	--	--------	----------

All rise. THE CLERK:

THE COURT: Please be seated. I'm sorry.

All right. Next witness.

MR. SAXENA: Your Honor, the plaintiffs call Moises Pastor by designation.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SAXENA: We have people ready to read in the testimony. If it is all right with the Court, we will have the witness sit in the witness box and the questioning attorney stand at the podium.

THE COURT: All right. You are all aware that you are not to emphasize particular words in the testimony in your answers?

MR. SAXENA: Understood.

We also have binders containing the designations that we are going to pass out now.

THE COURT: Provide one to the Court, too.

I should warn you that on Monday we won't be able to meet in the morning. The afternoon I could resume at 2 o'clock.

MR. SAXENA: Your Honor, before we start, I just wanted to address the subject of objections to the testimony.

I understand that we're doing them as we go. objections in this transcript are marked by a box around the C3udcar1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

25

objected-to testimony. Once we get to the box, the plaintiffs warn the witness to stop reading, i.e., do not read the question, the first question, and then we will address the objection.

THE COURT: Then you want me to rule on it?

MR. SAXENA: Yes, please.

THE COURT: Who is going to do the reading and who is going to do the answering?

Is this a defense witness?

MR. SAXENA: So this is a plaintiffs' witness. person who is doing the reading is Brian Donovan. He is a paralegal from our offices.

MS. KACANI: Alkida Kacani, with the office of Epstein Becker & Green, for the defendant.

(Reading)

- 16 What is your date of birth?
- 17 "A 19th of November, 1990?
- 18 Are you employed?
- "A 19 No.
- 20 When was your last employment?
- 21 It's been close to some three months ago.
- 22 What job did you have until then?
- 23 "A I was a busboy.
- 24 **"** O Where?
  - "A It's a restaurant that's on 54, 55 and 9th Avenue.

"M. Pastor

C3udcar1

- 1 | called Bocca de Bacco.
- 2 | "Q Spell it out loud, please.
- 3 | "A B-o-c-c-a d-e B-a-c-c-o.
- 4 "Q From when to when did you work there? What were the dates
- 5 when you worked at this restaurant?
- 6 "A I'm not very sure but I believe I worked there about three
- 7 months.
- 8 "Q Why did you leave there?
- 9 "A Simply I just got tired of that employment.
- 10 | "Q So you quit?
- 11 "A Yes?
- 12 "Q Where did you work before Bocca de Bacco.
- 13 | "A In a restaurant called Arte.
- 14 "Q Where is that?
- 15 | "A 21 East 9th Avenue with University Avenue.
- 16 | "Q What did you do there?
- 17 | "A The same job. As a busboy.
- 18 "Q When did you work there?
- 19 "A Truthfully, I don't remember.
- 20 | "Q How long did you work there?
- 21 "A I don't remember, sir.
- 22 | "Q Where did you work before Arte?
- 23 | "A I'm sure that I worked at Bocca also.
- 24 | "Q Are you saying that you worked at Bocca during two
- 25 different periods of time?

C3udcar1

- "A 1 Yes.
- When was the first time you first started working at Bocca? 2 **"** O
- 3 Truthfully, I don't remember the time or the days.
- 4 Why did you stop working at Arte?
- 5 "A I didn't like the system there and I decided to quit, to
- 6 leave the job.
- 7 "Q Why did you stop working at Bocca de Bacco during the first
- time that you worked there? 8
- 9 "A Because I wanted to look for something else. Maybe be a
- 10 waiter for something. I was tired of being a busboy.
- "Q So you quit there? 11
- 12 "A Yes.
- 13 "Q Before you first worked at Bocca de Bacco, where did you
- 14 work?
- 15 "A Geisha.
- 16 "Q Is that a restaurant?
- 17 "A Yes.
- "Q Where is it? 18
- "A 61 and Madison. 19
- 20 "0 What was your job there?
- 21 "A Busboy.
- 22 **"** O When did you work there?
- 23 "A I don't have an exact date.
- 24 Approximately when did you work there? "0
- 25 "A 2008. Earlier.

C3udcar1 "M. Pastor

- 1 "Q Earlier than 2008?
- 2 No, not only early. In 2008.
- 3 | "Q For how long did you work at Geisha?
- 4 | "A I don't remember.
- 5 | "Q Why did you stop working there?
- 6 "A Simply because I wanted a better job. And also I asked for
- 7 a rest period, a rest time, and I never returned.
- 8 "Q Did you have another job to go to after you stopped working
- 9 | at Geisha?
- 10 | "A Before Geisha?
- 11 "Q No. At the time that you left working at Geisha, did you
- 12 | immediately begin working at another job?
- 13 | "A No.
- 14 "Q Before Geisha where did you work?
- 15 | "A In the Remi Restaurant.
- 16 | "Q When did you work at the Remi Restaurant?
- 17 | "A I worked there in 2005.
- 18 "O Until when?
- 19 | "A November of 2007.
- 20 | "Q When did you start working at Remi?
- 21 | "A I don't have an idea. It was maybe midyear.
- 22 | "Q Since you left working at Remi in November 2007, for how
- 23 many months or years have you been unemployed?
- 24 | "A May you repeat the question, please?
- 25 "(Record read)

|| Caudcari

"Truthfully, I don't have a good recollection. Maybe it was -- maybe four months, four months and a half, five months.

"I could say -- you could say it was maybe four months or so. Yes.

"Q So you say you've been unemployed for about four months in almost the last three years; is that your testimony?

"A I'm not in agreement with you. Those were the four months that I was unemployed at that time.

"Q No. My question is since November of 2007, for how long have you been unemployed in total?

"A Truthfully, I don't have an idea about that. Because I've worked at many restaurants and I'm not checking to see how long I worked at which one. I don't have an idea. I don't have any idea how much time I rested. Also, it was 2007, 2008. It was many years ago.

"Q When was the last time" --

MR. SAXENA: Your Honor, plaintiffs have objected to the portions of the testimony from page 15, line 14, through page 18, line 6.

This testimony has been the subject of a motion in limine that the Court has ruled on. The testimony pertains to drug use. Drug use does not go to veracity. Nor is there any suggestion in the testimony that the drug use is being used to impeach the witness' truth-telling ability.

C3udcar1 "M. Pastor

```
1
               (Pause)
 2
               THE COURT:
                          It starts on what page?
 3
               MR. SAXENA: It starts, your Honor, on page 15, line
 4
      14, where the purple box begins.
 5
               THE COURT: Thank you.
6
               (Pause)
 7
               I don't see the relevance of the testimony as listed,
      so I will strike the testimony from page 15 through 18 that is
8
9
      subject to the line.
10
               MS. KACANI: (Reading)
11
      " O
         Are you gay?
12
      "A
         No.
13
      " O
         Are you bisexual?
14
      "A
         What's bisexual?
15
         You have sex with men and women.
16
      "A
         No.
17
         Do you have a girlfriend?
18
      "A
          No.
19
      " O
         Have you ever had one?
20
      "A
         Yes.
21
          When was the last time you did?
22
      "A
          2007.
23
      "0
          Do you have a high school diploma?
24
      "A
         No."
25
               MR. SAXENA: Your Honor, plaintiffs have objected to
```

24

25

"0

"A

"Q

the next portion, beginning on page 20, line 2, solely on the 1 2 grounds of completeness. We ask that the testimony be read 3 through line 11, which is the answer to the final question. 4 (Pause) 5 THE COURT: Well, I note that this testimony was given 6 in Spanish, or there was a Spanish interpreter there. 7 MR. SAXENA: That's correct, your Honor. The 8 testimony was given in Spanish and through a Spanish 9 interpreter. 10 THE COURT: I don't know whether his schooling was 11 alleged to have taken place in Mexico or Mexico schools or 12 English schools. 13 This particular schooling was after MR. SAXENA: 14 Mr. Pastor was already in the United States. 15 We have no problem with the testimony. We just wanted it to be read through to line 11 so that the answer gets --16 17 THE COURT: Do both sides agree? 18 MR. PARKER: Your Honor, I have no objection to that. 19 THE COURT: All right. I will allow the testimony. 20 MS. KACANI: (Reading) 21 **"** O Have you gone to school? 22 "A Yes.

How far did you go in school?

Did you complete the 10th grade?

I remained to 10th grade.

- "A No. I remained halfway in the grade.
- **"** O What year was that that you were in 10th grade?
- "A 2007." 3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SAXENA: Your Honor, the next testimony that is designated begins on page 27.

THE COURT: How old was he when he gave this testimony? Was it given in 2007?

MR. SAXENA: Your Honor, in November -- he was born in November 1990, November 19th, so he would have been 17 years old.

The next portion of the designated testimony begins on page 27, lines 14 through 16.

Plaintiffs have objected to this testimony, in addition to the testimony on page 28, line 2 --

THE COURT: Let me just first go over that.

27, the blue section?

MR. SAXENA: Yes, correct, your Honor. 27, lines 14 through 16. And the answer to that question is given on page 28, line 2.

The testimony continues on page 28, starting at line 19, and then ends on page 29, at line 3.

THE COURT: What is the nature of the objection?

MR. SAXENA: Plaintiffs' objection is that there is no foundation laid that this alleged -- that this guilty plea that is discussed is a plea to a felony or a crime of dishonesty,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

```
and if it is not it would not be admissible.
1
```

THE COURT: If that's all the testimony, then I will grant the motion to strike. It is not a motion to strike but a motion --

MR. SAXENA: It is a motion to strike, that is correct, your Honor.

And then the testimony picks up again at page 31, line 2.

MS. KACANI: (Reading)

- In what year did you -- were you born in Mexico?
- "A 11 Yes.
- 12 **"** O And what year did you begin to live in the United States?
- 13 " A I don't remember.
- 14 Do you remember how old you were?
- 15 "A No.
- What is your height and weight? 16
- 17 "A My height is 5 feet 4 inches and a half and my weight is 156. 18
- "Q Did you apply for a job at the Remi Restaurant in 2005? 19
- 20 "A Yes."
- 21 MR. SAXENA: Your Honor, plaintiffs have objected to 22 the testimony on page 34 --
- 23 THE COURT: I've lost you.
- 24 MR. SAXENA: Sure. I think it might be helpful,
- 25 actually --

1 THE COURT: You skipped over. I think you have to tell me when you are skipping over. 2 3 MS. KACANI: OK. 4 MR. SAXENA: So I think before, once we skip, we will just say the page and line that we pick up on. 5 6 MS. KACANI: I'll start over again, then. Page 31, 7 line 2. 8 (Reading) 9 In what year did you -- were you born in Mexico? 10 "A Yes. 11 "Q And what year did you begin to live in the United States? 12 "A I don't remember. 13 Do you remember how old you were? "0 14 "A No." MS. KACANI: Starting again at page 34, line 7: 15 What is your height and weight? 16 17 "A My height is 5 feet 4 inches and a half and my weight is 156. 18

19 "Q Did you apply for a job at the Remi Restaurant in 2005?

"A Yes."

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SAXENA: Your Honor, plaintiffs have objected to the testimony beginning on page 34, at line 22, and going through page 35, line 3, as well as the testimony on page 35, between lines 12 and 16.

There are two grounds. The first is completeness.

C3udcar1

The testimony refers to a document that was marked at the deposition, which is mentioned at page 34 between lines 10 and 18. That document is contained in all of our binders, as well as yours, behind the transcript, behind the tab that's labeled Pastor 1.

The second objection concerns the substance of the testimony, which is that the document that is referred to when on page 34, line 25, that says, "If you turn over to page 2," page 2 of the exhibit that was marked Pastor 1 is an immigration document. Immigration documents were the subject of a motion in limine that was before the Court, and there is no suggestion in any of the testimony that is objected to, or anywhere else, that there is a false statement on the immigration documents. So we move to strike that testimony.

THE COURT: I don't see why I should strike the testimony.

MR. SAXENA: Or, rather, the testimony in and of itself is not objectionable, but we would move to redact any —to the extent that the exhibit, Pastor 2, is moved into evidence, we move to redact that page since it is an immigration record.

MR. PARKER: Your Honor, I have no interest in immigration status with respect to this witness. That is not the purpose of the document. I have no objection to redacting the small portion of the document that relates to immigration

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I've never made that an issue; I don't intend to. This is for a different purpose.

This witness later on in the deposition admits that he lied about his age. The age is set forth in the document. that's the purpose of the offer. It has nothing to do with immigration status.

THE COURT: Well, these sections, as I understood it, just has the addresses. Do you claim that the address is false?

MR. PARKER: No. It was -- no. He hasn't admitted the address is false. It was really questioning him on whether or not the information contained in his application was in fact accurate to prove that it was his application for the job.

THE COURT: I think if it is limited -- if the testimony is to be interpreted that he did file the application, or that an application was filed in his name in which he lied on Exhibit 1, I think that is admissible. Exhibit 1 can be redacted to show that it is not -- to not show that it is an immigration document.

MS. KACANI: (Reading)

"Q Did you complete any paperwork in order to apply for the job?"

> Sorry. Can you just do the --MR. SAXENA:

MS. KACANI: Do you want me to go back to 19?

Just say what line and page you are MR. SAXENA:

starting on.

MS. KACANI: Picking up on page 34, line 22: 2

- 3 "Q Did you complete any paperwork in order to apply for the
- 4 job?

- 5 "A I don't remember.
- 6 "Q If you turn over to page 2. Let me ask you, did you live 7 at 1461 Amsterdam Avenue in New York?
- "Did you live at 1461 Amsterdam Avenue in New York? 8
- 9 "A Yes.
- 10 Did you live there in 2005?
- "A 11 Yes.
- 12 "Q When you applied for a job at Remi, did you speak with
- 13 anyone there?
- 14 "A Yes.
- 15 "Q Who did you speak with?
- The manager, his name is Luigi. 16
- 17 Where did you speak with Luigi?
- 18 I only asked him for a job and he said yes.
- "Q Did your brother Sergio work there at the time that you 19
- 20 started working at Remi?
- 21 "A Yes.
- 22 "Q Now, when you applied for the job at Remi, was it in May of
- 2005?" 23
- 24 THE COURT: Where are you reading from?
- 25 MS. KACANI: Page 37, line 2, your Honor.

```
1
              THE COURT: You have to pick up.
```

- 2 MS. KACANI: (Reading)
- 3 "A I don't remember.
- 4 "Q Did you tell anyone at Remi when you began working there
- 5 that your birthday was November 19, 1986?
- "A No. 6
- 7 Is your birth date November 19, 1986?
- "A No. 8
- 9 "Q Have you ever informed anyone at Remi, either in writing or
- 10 orally, that your birth date is November 19, 1986?
- 11 "A I don't remember. I don't remember. I only went there to
- 12 go to work.
- 13 "Q When you started at Remi in the middle of 2005, you were
- 14 14-year old, correct?
- 15 "A I don't know if I was 14 or 15.
- Did you lie to Remi about your age in order to get the job? 16
- 17 "A Yes. I believe I might have needed to be a little bit
- older in order to work there." 18
- MS. KACANI: Picking up on page 42, line 13: 19
- 20 What was your first job at Remi?
- 21 "A On the first day that I went to work there it was as a
- 22 busboy, and then afterwards I worked as a white jacket.
- 23 **"** O Did you have any jobs before you worked at Remi?
- 24 Worked at Milos restaurant. "A
- 25 **"** O How do you spell that?

"M. Pastor

C3udcar1

- "A M-i-l-o-s.
- 2 "Q Where is Milos?
- 3 | "A Between 7th and the Avenue of the Americas and 55th Street.
- 4 | "Q When did you work at Milos?
- 5 | "A I don't remember. I believe it was before I worked at
- 6 Remi.

- 7 "Q For how long did you work at Milos?
- 8 "A It's been so long ago that I don't remember how much time I
- 9 worked there.
- 10 | "Q Was it less than a year?
- 11 "A Yes.
- 12 | "Q What was your job there at Milos?
- 13 "A To wash dishes.
- 14 | "Q Why did you stop working at Milos?
- 15 | "A I wanted to do something better than a dishwasher.
- 16 | "Q Did you quit your job at Milos?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 "O When?
- 19 "A I don't have an idea. I don't remember. What I do
- 20 remember is it was the same day that I began working at Remi.
- 21 | "Q So the same day you began working at Remi was the day that
- 22 | you quit working at Milos?
- 23 | "A Yes. What happened was that a better opportunity presented
- 24 | itself, and I said that I was going to leave and go to the new
- 25 place."

Picking up on page 44, line 21: 1 What were your duties as a busboy at Remi? 2 **"** O 3 "A To help on the tables. To help the waiters. To serve water, to clean the tables. Reset the tables. And then I 4 5 believe also that I was a helper of Mr. Pistorio. I felt that 6 I was his helper also. 7 "Q So were there any other jobs that the busboys had besides serving water, cleaning tables and resetting tables? 8 9 "A To do side work also. To refill the vinegar, the olive oil 10 and vinegar. And also to lay out the tablecloths, check that 11 the stations were filled. Sometimes I worked, though, in the 12 Rialto Room and it wasn't the same system. 13 "Q How was -- when you worked in the Rialto Room, how was that 14 different than working in the dining room? 15 "A We would bring up the tables. We would change the dinnerware, the knives and the forks. We would clean the 16 17 forkware. To take down baskets with a lot of plates in them. 18 And also to work with the manager that worked there. To obey 19 the manager. 20 "Q When you say you felt that you were Mr. Pistorio's helper, 21 what did you do as Mr. Pistorio's helper? 22 "A It was during the busy times, the times when he needed a 23 table and he would need them like that. And he would make me 24 be attentive as to what the needs were of the tables. 25 "Q You say that during the -- so the record reflects that the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

witness snapped his fingers while describing his duties, right?

"You say that during the busy times he would --Mr. Pistorio would make you do what?"

THE COURT: When you have those interruptions, you should put a question.

"A As I would say, he would ask me to organize tables if there were parties. If there was a party of 15 people, he would tell me to make a table for 15 people, but he would tell me to make a nice table, a good table. And that's the way I would like to do the things. I believe that that's the way the things should have been done. That's why when he had a large party he would tell me to do this table, and then if there was another table that he had another large party in it, to go and do that one also.

- "Q When you were a busboy, were you assigned to stations when working in the dining room?
- 17 "A Yes.
  - "Q And when Mr. Pistorio asked you to make up tables for larger parties, did he ask you to do that in your own station or in the other stations as well?
  - "A Mr. Pistorio was the manager, and he would tell me to do jobs all over the place. Since he was the manager of the place, if he would tell me and go -- tell me and go to do a job one place, I would go there. If he told me to do a job in another place, I would go there, even if it was outside of my

1 station.

2

- "O Do you know whether Mr. Pistorio asked other busboys to do similar kinds of jobs outside of their stations?
- 4 "A Yes.
- 5 "O When you say you felt that you were Mr. Pistorio's helper, 6 how was that different, if it was, than the jobs of any other
- 7 of the busboys at Remi?
- 8 "A There was a young man called Javier Martinez, and myself 9 and Javier Martinez, we were -- we believed that we were the two of his favorites and the one that he liked the best. And I 10 11 felt that that was the difference. That he would send us more
- 12 than the others.
- 13 "Q Why do you think that you and Javier Martinez were two of 14 Mr. Pistorio's favorites?
- 15 "A I believe that me -- and he told me one time, he would always have us work with him. And he would treat us well. 16 Not. 17 very well, however. We would always work with him and he 18 showed us how to work. And I felt it in my heart.
- "Q For how long did you work as a busboy? 19
- 20 I don't remember, sir.
- 21 You became a white jacket while working at Remi?
- 22 I was a white jacket in the beginning when I worked at
- 23 Remi. And then I went to busboy. It was just the first day
- 24 that I was a busboy.
- 25 "Q Let me try to understand. The first day you worked at Remi

C3udcar1

- you were a busboy, right? Is that right? 1
- " A 2 Yes.
- 3 "Q The second day you worked at Remi, was that as a busboy or
- 4 as a white jacket?
- 5 "A It was a white jacket.
- 6 "Q And did you continue then after the second day working as a
- 7 white jacket for some period of time?
- "A Yes. 8
- 9 "Q And then at some point after that you became a busboy; is
- 10 that correct?
- 11 "A Yes.
- 12 "Q When did you become a busboy?
- 13 "A Truthfully I don't remember. It's been a long time.
- 14 been five years or so. I don't know. Four years ago. I don't
- 15 remember very well. No. I don't remember.
- "Q Did you earn more money working as a busboy than as a white 16
- 17 jacket?
- 18 "A Definitely. Everything is like everything, the money goes
- 19 up and goes down. I don't know how to respond to you.
- 20 Sometimes I would earn 200, sometimes I would earn as much as
- 21 500. And then that's also what I could make as a white jacket.
- 22 But busboy is a better position.
- 23 "Q Was busboy a promotion from a white jacket?
- 24 "A I believe they -- I think or I believe that they promoted
- 25 me."

C3udcar1

1 MS. KACANI: Picking up on page 51, line 3, your Honor: 2 3 Did Mr. Pistorio promote you to busboy? 4 Yes, Mr. Pistorio promoted me to busboy. 5 Do you remember what year you became a busboy? 6 " A Maybe the same day. In 2005. 7 0" The same day as what? 8 "A The same year. 9 "Q Oh, the same year. OK. What were your duties as a white 10 iacket? 11 "A My duties as a white jacket at Remi's was to see that the stations were filled with dinnerware, forks and knives. We had 12 13 a certain system that we would place the baskets, we would put 14 the plates, cups, the dishes, the silverware. We had to bring 15 them down. We would fix them or arrange them in the place that they go in and then bring them upstairs. 16 17 "Q Did you ever have any other jobs at Remi besides white 18 jacket and busboy? "A No. 19 20 What were your days and work hours at Remi? 21 It's very difficult to say what days I worked because it 22

23

24

25

was a long time ago. I'm sure I worked during the hours of dinner, dinner hours, from 4 to 11, but I don't remember what days I worked.

"O Did the days change week to week?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

- "A Sometimes yes because there were two people that worked at that station, and we have to take turns working differently, different shifts.
- "Q Did you work any lunch shifts or did you work only dinners?
- "A I couldn't work lunch. So when I worked as a white jacket
  I would work -- I could work there for some days. I would work
  lunch and dinners on the holidays. And I worked -- also
  worked. I worked also the brunch shift, when Remi began to
- 10 "Q Was that on Sundays?

work the brunch shift.

- 11 "A And Saturdays.
  - "Q You said in an earlier response there were two or more people on a station and your nights changed. What do you mean by that?
  - "A Osvaldo Sanchez was a man who worked there.
    - "He and I were the two white jackets. It's the only station that had two people. And the coffee area. But that's very different from me. I didn't get into there.
    - "Pardon me. You can pardon me. I did work as a coffee boy sometimes because there were Saturdays and Sundays they were very slow so I had to cover.
- "Q So you had to -- when you say you had to cover, you mean your job was just to make coffee?
  - "A I'm going to be sincere. Sometimes they would make me just make the coffee and sometimes they would put me outside and

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

22

23

24

- everyone would make the coffee. But I was the only one who would make the most cappuccinos, however, because I knew how to make -- work the machine. But they also made the coffee. Regular is just like so.
- 5 "Q When you worked at Remi as a busboy for a dinner shift, how 6 many other busboys would be on duty at the same time?
  - "A It's difficult to tell you. There could be a time where there was six busboys and there would be times it would be up to 20. Depending on the parties. Remi's is a place where they make parties. So then I don't have the exact number of how many busboys there were every day.
  - "Q Was your job as a busboy to work sometimes in the dining room and sometimes at parties?
- 14 "A Truthfully when I had to work in the salon, I worked in a 15 When I had to work in the party, I was at the party. We couldn't -- we couldn't mix because we were always separate 16 17 because they were under different systems.
  - "Q But did you work more frequently in the dining room or in the party room?
- 20 "A I worked more frequently in the dinner room on the floor.
- 21 On the parties they were just a few times that I worked there.
  - "Q How many days per week did you usually work?
    - "A It's difficult to tell you and to give you a number. were days that I worked four days, up to five days. There was some times that I worked only three days. Yes.

- Have you ever met or spoke with Roberto Delatona?
- "A To know him is that I knew him by sight, not in person. 2
- 3 is the boss of the Remi Restaurant. There was some times that
- 4 he would pass by because he's the boss. Everyone saw when he
- 5 passed by there. And what was the complete question?
- 6 Have you ever spoken with him?
- 7 "A No.
- Ever said hello, or did he ever say hello to you? 8 "0
- 9 There was one time that I was his busboy and I believe
- 10 maybe it might have been one.
- 11 **"** O Do you know Stefano Fratella?
- 12 "A No.
- "Q 13 Never -- you've never spoken with him?
- 14 '' A I have never seen him.
- 15 Do you know Frank Tancredi? "0
- " A 16 No.
- 17 Have you ever seen him?
- "A No." 18
- 19 MS. KACANI: Picking up on page 57, line 3.
- 20 THE COURT: Is that name Tancredi or Taneredi?
- 21 MS. KACANI: Tancredi.
- 22 MR. PARKER: It is spelled incorrectly in the
- 23 transcript, your Honor. It's Tancredi.
- 24 THE COURT: All right.
- 25 MS. KACANI: Picking up on page 57, line 3:

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

- On the days when you worked the dinner shift, what time were you required to go to work at Remi?
- "A At 4 in the afternoon.
- 4 "Q Did Mr. Pistorio conduct meetings of the employees at Remi 5 before dinner started?
  - "A He would make some small reunions in order to -- for us to be aware as to what was going on during the day, for example, what were the 86 and in order to be clean, in order to have black shoes on, to be on the time on the hour. To correct us to be sure that there were no mistake. And there he would explain some things, for example, what wines and in order for us to behave ourselves, in order to treat the people well.
  - "Q Was there anything else, any other subjects that you recall being discussed at any of the meetings that Mr. Pistorio had with the staff?
  - "A Truthfully, it's been a long time and I don't know what other subjects he spoke about. I don't know if he spoke about other topics. Well, I think I remember he spoke about the food one time and about the side work. We had to do them well.
- 20 "O What is side work?
  - "A Mr. Pistorio would explain that to us as the work that we would have to do in order to prepare ourselves. As I said before, it was to refill the olive oil bottles, to bring up the tablecloths, and to check all the stations -- to check all your stations."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KACANI: Picking up on page 60, line 22: When you worked as a busboy in the dining room at Remi at dinners in the evening, what were your responsibilities at the end of the night? "A My duties were to do the side work, close. I work at the restaurant. There was different types of side work to closing. If you were number one, you would have to take out the baskets -- truthfully, I don't remember what these side works were, these side jobs. There were one and this one and this one and this one, but, however, I remember we would take out the garbage, the ice coolers, and to clean the stations and to clean the stations in the back. But there were times that -and Pistorio when he would allow me to close, one of the things that I would do is to put the plants in, to fix up the garden, to arrange it and to check to see if all the doors were closed. "Q When you worked dinner shifts at -- in the dining room was there -- was there one busboy who was required to stay longer than the others to close or how did that work? "A Yes. I would like to explain. **"** O Sure. "A That was a process where we had No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6. and 6, 5 would remain, he would remain until 11, 11:15. I don't know, maybe he would remain there more time to help out with the closeouts. And then two would remain and then 5 and 6 would remain to close. There were exceptions. For example,

- sometimes I would stay 'til close if they would make me stay 1 2 and close. Yes.
- 3 "Q So I just wanted to get some clarification of what you just 4 Are you saying that there were more than one busboy who
- 5 was on the schedule to stay to close or was it one?
- "A There were two. 6
- 7 And they would be whoever was assigned 5 and 6?
- 8 "A Yes, sir.
- 9 So sometimes you would be 5 or 6 and sometimes not?
- 10 "A Sometimes I was No. 2 and I would have to close. Because
- Pistorio would ask us to close. 11
- "O So if you were No. 2 and Pistorio asked you to close, also 12
- 13 there would be Nos. 5 and 6?
- 14 "A Sometimes. Sometimes he would send us home.
- 15 "Q So sometimes two busboys closed, sometimes more than two;
- 16 is that correct?
- 17 "A Yes.
- 18 "Q When you closed, whether you were No. 2 or 5 or 6, what
- time did you leave the restaurant? 19
- 20 "A Please tell him that that question I can't answer exactly.
- Sometimes the patrons would say -- the clients would stay for 21
- 22 long periods of time, they would stay late and the restaurant
- 23 closed at 11:30. Sometimes we would stay there until 1, 2,
- 24 Then there were times where we would leave 12:30, and
- 25 sometimes would leave at 11:30.

- "Q Is it correct that if you were the closing busboy, you were 1 2 required to stay until the last dinner guest left the 3 restaurant?
- 4 "A We would have to stay until we would leave the tables as we 5 found them and that there was no one in the restaurant.
  - "Q In addition to the closing busboys, who would leave at the end of the night? What other people remained in the restaurant until the restaurant was closed and locked for the night? "A Arturo Caravantes, Jose Ortiz, Marguerito Copas, he's the
- 10 porter who remained there. Caravantes, he was the coffee man. 11 Joseph would stay until the end, like myself and Javier and
- 12 Pistorio.

7

8

- 13 "Q And at the time of the closing of the restaurant, who 14 locked the restaurant doors?
- 15 "A Mr. Pistorio, he was the general manager so he would do it. But there were times that he would tell us to do it, to close 16 17 all the doors, to check and see if all the lights were turned
- off. 18
- 19 "Q Did you ever close the doors and lock them?
- 20 "A Yes.
- 21 Did you use a key to lock the doors?
- 22 "A Yes, we would use them to close.
- 23 What did you do with the key after you had locked the 24 doors?
- 25 " A I would give them right there in his hand.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

- "O So when you did the locking of the doors, Pistorio was there to take the key from you?
  - "A Yes, sir. I have an example. It was the summer and we had to bring the stuff from outside in; for example, the umbrellas, the seats, the tables. For Remi -- for -- those were for Remi To Go, you have to close them and bring them inside. We had to take the chairs from Remi To Go. We had to grab the seats, the tables and the umbrellas -- oh, we would have to take the seats, the tables and the umbrellas and we would bring them inside Remi To Go. And then we always had to use the keys. "Q Did you ever go home with the keys at night or did you
  - "A Always we would give them to him. In another way how would he open the restaurant? Oh, then how would he close the restaurant then if he didn't have the keys?
- "Q What clothing did you wear when you were working as a 16 17 busboy at Remi?
  - "A We would use a T-shirt, a white shirt. Like the one that I have on now, and a black pair of pants, black socks and black shoes and an apron.
- 21 "Q Describe the apron.
- 22 "A Here it has Remi Restaurant.

always give them to Mr. Pistorio?

- 23 "0 Here, pointing to your chest?
- 24 "A Yes. After that I only remember that and then it had two 25 pockets."

- 1 MS. KACANI: Picking up on page 67, line 23:
- So did you ever change clothing at Remi? 2 **"** O
- 3 I would change in Remi in the locker rooms."
- 4 MS. KACANI: Picking up on page 68, line 14:
- 5 "Q But my question is did you wear your work clothes from home 6 to go to Remi or did you change into your work clothes when you
- 7 got to the restaurant?
- 8 "A When I would get to the restaurant, I would change from my 9 civil clothing into my uniform from the restaurant.
- 10 "Q Where did you change?
- "A 11 The locker rooms.
- 12 **"** O Did you have your own locker?
- 13 "A Yes.
- 14 "Q Did you ever change clothing anywhere else in the
- 15 restaurant other than the locker room?
- 16 "A No.
- 17 Did your locker have a lock on it?
- "A Yes. 18
- Is that where you stored any personal belongings while you 19
- 20 worked?
- 21 "A It's my locker, yes.
- 22 "Q Did you ever store any personal belongings anywhere else in
- 23 the restaurant while you were working other than in your
- 24 locker?
- "A 25 But please pardon me. Let me tell you there was a No.

C3udcar1 "M. Pastor

short period of time that I shared my locker with Ivan. So maybe one shirt or two shirts.

(Continued on next page)

1 MS. KACANI: Picking up on page 72/line 19.

- "Q. Did you know Francisco Sotarriba?
- 3 "A. He was a companion at work.
  - "Q. And what was -- what was his job?
- 5 "A. He was a food runner.
- 6 "Q. Did you have any relationship with him outside of work?
- 7 "A. No.

2

- "Q. Did you have any conversations with him about filing this 8
- 9 lawsuit before it was filed?
- 10 "A. No, sir.
- 11 "Q. Do you know Arturo Caravantes?
- 12 "A. Yes.
- 13 "Q. How do you know him?
- 14 "A. He was also a companion at work. He was a coffee man.
- 15 "Q. Have you ever spent any time with Caravantes outside of
- 16 work from Remi?
- 17 "A. No."
- MS. KACANI: Picking up on page 75/line 13. 18
- "Q. Before this lawsuit was filed, did you have any 19
- 20 conversations with Caravantes where Caravantes was present
- 21 about filing the lawsuit, whether or not there was an attorney
- 22 doesn't matter. Just yes or no.
- 23 "A. No.
- 24 "Q. Were you ever disciplined in any way at your job at Remi?
- 25 "A. Can you please explain the question to me.

"M. Pastor

- "Q. Were you ever reprimanded, warned or suspended in your job 1
- 2 at Remi?
- 3 "A. One time they suspend me.
- "Q. Who suspended you? 4
- 5 "A. Francesco Pistorio.
- 6 "Q. Did he tell you why you were being suspended?
- 7 "A. Yes.
- "Q. What did he say? 8
- 9 "A. For not arriving -- for not going to work and for arriving
- 10 to work late.
- 11 "O. When was that?
- 12 "A. Truthfully, I don't remember the date.
- 13 "Q. Do you remember what year that was?
- "A. No. 14
- 15 Q. How long were you suspended for?
- "A. For one week. 16
- 17 Q. Did you return to work after that?
- 18 "A. Yes.
- "Q. How long did you continue to work at Remi after your return 19
- 20 from the suspension?
- 21 "A. I said I don't remember when that was.
- 22 "Q. When did you stop working at Remi?
- "A. 2007, in November." 23
- 24 MS. KACANI: Picking up on page 81/line 2.
- 25 "Q. Did you ever hear other employees using the word "puta" or

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- "bitch" to refer to -- to others while you were working at 1 2 Remi?
  - "A. I don't remember. There are people who spoke incorrectly or poorly. And if those, they -- yes, I remember them who spoke with bad words.
  - "O. Who was that?
  - "A. Jose Figueroa, Luis Ramos and Julio Vega. I feel they were the people who maybe spoke, who had the poor language. Oh, and Corrie. She was a young lady, and she would say -- sometimes she would say yes, poppy you puta. Truthfully, but there were only a few occasions that I heard them say that. And I didn't know who would say that because I was working.
  - "Q. Why did you file this lawsuit?
    - "A. Because I want there to be justice. Because I'm also very upset. Very angry. Because at that time I was a young man and Oscar abused me. What hurts me the most in this world is that Oscar, he violated me and also what gets me the most angry is that he also abused -- he abused me, he also abused my brother and that's what gets me the most upset. And it also gets me depressed and sad. And my brother, he did it to my brother."

MR. PARKER: Your Honor, the next portion from page 82 line 9, from the bottom of 82 picking up on 83 and 84, this testimony is hearsay, and --

THE COURT: I will have to read it.

(Pause)

24

25

1 THE COURT: It's the red line, you want me to continue 2 reading on page 83? 3 MR. PARKER: Yes. 4 (Pause) THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 5 MR. SAXENA: Your Honor, may we be heard? 6 7 THE COURT: You can be heard, but it's clear it's hearsay. If you have other testimony that I should read, then 8 9 I'll read it. 10 MR. SAXENA: The only thing I should point out is that 11 the witness does testify to his observations, meaning observing 12 his brother and the way he had reacted. 13 THE COURT: Had what? 14 MR. SAXENA: Moises Pastor testifies to observing his 15 brother, and, for example, on page 83, he doesn't -- nowhere in this testimony does it actually refer to a statement that is 16 17 made out of --18 THE COURT: On May 3? 19 MR. SAXENA: That is correct. He says, "There is my 20 brother" -- I'm reading at 83/line 18. He said, "There is my 21 brother" --22 THE COURT: I'm sustaining the objection to the

question and the answer on page 84.

MS. KACANI: Picking up on 84/line 14.

"Q. When you were working at Remi, did you observe other

C3uQcar2

- employees touching one another with their hands? 1
- 2 "A. Many times.
- 3 "Q. What types of touching did you observe?
- 4 "A. There was other times in the locker rooms that they did
- 5 improper things. I saw one time Oscar Velandia touch Francisco
- 6 Sotarriba in his private parts and there who was present was
- 7 Cinthia Peralta.
- "Q. Where did that take place? 8
- 9 "A. On some steps. On some stairs.
- 10 "O. When?
- "A. I don't remember the date. 11
- 12 "Q. Do you remember the year?
- 13 "A. What I believe it was in the afternoon.
- 14 "Q. What year?
- 15 "A. I don't remember. He had recently returned back from his
- 16 operation.
- 17 "Q. Who?
- 18 "A. Francisco. He didn't seem to be very happy.
- "Q. Were there any other times where you saw one employee 19
- 20 touching another in their private parts?
- 21 "A. I don't remember, sir. But what I do remember is that
- 22 Oscar touched me. Very improperly, very inappropriately.
- 23 "Q. When did Oscar touch you very inappropriately?
- 24 "A. It was -- truthfully, I don't remember exactly when it was.
- 25 The first time was in the locker rooms. One of the very first

C3uQcar2

- 1 times.
- "Q. What was the very first time that Oscar touched you 2
- 3 improperly?
- 4 "A. I don't remember, sir, but I'm sure it was in the locker
- 5 room. And he would make himself pass as the first lady.
- "O. I want to know the first time. 6
- 7 "A. I'm telling you it was in the locker room. I'm saying that
- it was in the locker room. That it was one of the first times 8
- 9 that that happened.
- 10 "O. I want to know the first time. First time.
- "A. Please tell him to not make this so difficult. 11
- 12 it's very difficult for me to remember when was the first time
- 13 that that man he touched me inappropriately.
- 14 "Q. I'll ask it again. When and where were you the very first
- 15 time that Oscar touched you inappropriately?
- 16 "A. I don't remember, sir.
- 17 "Q. Were you offended?
- 18 "A. Very offended. I feel dirty.
- "Q. Did you ever touch the butt of any other employee as a joke 19
- 20 at work at Remi?
- 21 "A. If I touched anyone in the butt? No, I never joked around.
- 22 "Q. Did you observe other employees joking around by touching
- 23 one another?
- 24 "A. Yes.
- 25 "Q. What employees joked around by touching one another?

- "A. Luis Ramos. Jose -- I forgot his last name. Not Ortiz. 1
- There was another Jose. Jose Figueroa. It was this guy Julio 2
- 3 Vegas and there was sometimes that he would touch Oscar. And
- 4 to Hector.
- 5 "Q. When you say he would touch Oscar, who are you talking
- about? 6
- 7 "A. I once caught Mr. Figueroa. Once I caught Mr. Figueroa,
- but they were playing around. I didn't pay any attention to 8
- 9 them.
- 10 "O. You testified earlier about one of the first times that you
- 11 say Oscar touched you in the locker room. What did he do, what
- 12 did Oscar do?
- 13 "A. He would sit on my lap and then he would act like a -- like
- 14 a young woman and he would turn off the lights. And then I
- 15 would feel very uncomfortable. He would come and sit over
- 16 here. I'm a man. And then I was a young man. I was a boy.
- 17 And he would come and he would sit higher (indicating).
- 18 "Q. And this occurred in the locker room?
- "A. Yes, in the chair. 19
- 20 "Q. How many times did that take place where Oscar came into
- 21 the locker room and sat on your lap?
- 22 "A. There were many times. There were many times. And there
- 23 were many times that -- that he wouldn't leave me alone.
- 24 he wouldn't leave me. Am I fine? One second. I would like
- 25 for you to repeat. He would sit down in my legs. He would sit

- down and touch me inappropriately. And many times I didn't 1 2 allow myself to.
- 3 "Q. Allow yourself to what?
- 4 "A. For him to touch me. No, no. He would want to touch me 5 and then I would want to tell the others that I was too young
- for this. 6
- 7 "Q. How many times did that happen in the locker room?
- "A. Please tell him that I don't remember how many times. 8
- 9 However, please tell him that it was many times but there were
- 10 many times that the situation got very ugly in the locker room.
- 11 And I don't want to remember those things.
- 12 "Q. On any of those times when you claim that Oscar touched you
- 13 in the locker room, was anyone else present?
- "A. Sometimes. 14
- 15 "O. Who?
- "A. To give names, they changed us so many times that for them 16
- 17 that was natural. They would do it and they would play around
- like that. I don't know. I wouldn't say that all of them 18
- played around like that, but there were times that they would 19
- 20 do that and I don't know how many people were there. I don't
- 21 remember.
- 22 "Q. Give me the names of anyone who ever saw Oscar touch you
- 23 improperly in the locker room.
- 24 "A. Ivan. Many of the other people. It's difficult for me to
- 25 remember because these people, they also did it -- they also

"M. Pastor

- 1 | did it like that. I don't remember, Julio.
- 2 | "Q. Julio?
- 3 | "A. Julio Vegas.
- 4 | "Q. That's two. Ivan and Julio. Are there any other names?
- 5 "A. There was this guy. This Ecuadorian young man but I don't
- 6 remember his name.
- 7 | "Q. Any others?
- 8 | "A. Not that I remember, sir.
- 9 "Q. Did Oscar touch you improperly in any other location other
- 10 | than in the locker room at Remi?
- 11 "A. Yes.
- 12 "Q. Where else?
- 13 "A. Yes, he did it. Sometimes in the cafeteria. One time he
- 14 | followed me downstairs, he took me to the office. One time it
- 15 was in the coat check. There were various times.
- 16 "O. Various times where?
- 17 "A. In the office.
- 18 "Q. So more than once in the office?
- 19 "A. Yes, sir.
- 20 \| "O. What is the cafeteria?
- 21 "A. The cafeteria where they make the coffee. The area of the
- 22 coffees.
- 23 | "Q. There was one time there, in the cafeteria?
- 24 | "A. I don't remember if it was.
- 25 "Q. Did anybody witness that time that you are talking about in

C3uQcar2

1

the cafeteria?

- 2 "A. Truthfully, I don't know.
- 3 "Q. Did anybody witness any of the times that Oscar touched you
- 4 in the office?
- "A. Pablo. 5
- "O. Pablo who? 6
- 7 "A. I don't recall his last name.
- "Q. What was his job? 8
- 9 "A. He was a waiter and a dishwasher.
- 10 "Q. What do you think that Pablo saw Oscar doing to you?
- "A. He went downstairs to the office one time and he touched --11
- 12 he knocked on the door. And that Oscar, I don't know what
- 13 happened, one time I told him to help me with something and he
- 14 closed the door. And Pablo asked what were we doing and he
- 15 said -- and he told me, oh, you guys were doing something bad,
- 16 right? And then I couldn't respond anything to him.
- 17 couldn't say anything back.
- "Q. What is it that you believe Pablo saw? 18
- "A. That I was with Oscar in the office and he closed the door 19
- 20 for no reason, for no important reason.
- 21 "Q. So what did Pablo say?
- 22 "A. He was laughing.
- 23 "O. What did Pablo see?
- 24 "A. I don't know, sir.
- 25 "Q. What were you doing in the office at that time with Oscar

- that you say Pablo came and knocked on the door? 1
- "A. Me in reality I didn't want to do anything. I only asked 2
- 3 Oscar to give me an advance of my tips. And I don't know what
- happened. He controlled me. He didn't allow me to leave the 4
- 5 office.
- "O. Where is his office? 6
- 7 "A. That he did oral sex.
- "Q. In the office? 8
- 9 "A. Yes.
- 10 "O. Where is the office?
- 11 "A. It's in the back of the, by the chef table but downstairs.
- "Q. What was in the office, what furniture? 12
- 13 "A. On this side there was furniture with some glasses on it.
- 14 On this side here was the calendar. Here is the small table,
- 15 the desk. Here's the door. Here's the desk. And right there
- there's the computer. In the back there is, there's like a 16
- 17 small room in the back. There's a lot of wines there. And
- 18 there's a camera upstairs. That's all I remember. It's a long
- 19 time ago. I don't remember then. But in that office there
- 20 were many bad experiences there.
- 21 "Q. So the occasion that we have been discussing where you say
- 22 Pablo knocked on the door, was that the first time that you had
- 23 oral sex with Oscar?
- 24 "A. Please tell him that I don't understand that question
- 25 because Oscar did it to me and it wasn't one of the very first

1 | times.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PARKER: Your Honor, the next portion is objected to on page 95 beginning at line 17, two reasons, one --

THE COURT: I'll read it. What's the objection?

MR. PARKER: It's unresponsive to the question and it's no longer relevant to the case.

MR. SAXENA: Your Honor, the fact that it -- whether or not it's responsive to the question is not pertinent for our purposes here, and it is relevant to the case because as was subject of the motion in limine that you heard --

THE COURT: Just a second. Let me see what you said.

(Pause)

THE COURT: You say the question is not pertinent for our purposes here. What do you mean by that?

MR. SAXENA: I said.

THE COURT: Apparently --

MR. SAXENA: No, I believe that the question is pertinent here and so is the response. I may have misspoke.

THE COURT: Let me read it.

(Pause)

THE COURT: The question that starts on page 95, is that what the objection is to that.

MR. PARKER: Yes, your Honor, 95/line 17 to the end of page 95.

THE COURT: What's the grounds?

05 agcar 2

MR. PARKER: It's irrelevant to the case. Pastor is not a party, and, in any event, it's not responsive.

THE COURT: It's your question?

MR. PARKER: Pardon?

THE COURT: It's your question? Whose question is it?

MR. PARKER: I was taking the deposition. At the time he was a plaintiff. He is no longer a plaintiff. His feelings are not relevant to the case, and in any event, the answer is not responsive.

MR. SAXENA: Your Honor, you have heard a motion in limine on this and you have decided it. The conduct that happened with persons who worked at Remi Restaurant, even if they were not parties, is relevant to the hostility of the environment there, and whether Mr. Pastor found the conduct — he's describing his reaction to the conduct here, if he reacted unfavorably to the conduct he says was so terrible, that is relevant.

THE COURT: I have been thinking of it as a sex harassment situation. You're not offering it on the sex harassment, is that what you're telling me? You're offering it on a hostile environment claim?

MR. SAXENA: We are, your Honor, yes. Mr. Pastor himself is not a plaintiff, but the fact that he was subject to conduct that he found unwelcome is nonetheless relevant to the hostility of the environment there, especially since the

conduct is coming from the same defendant. 1

THE COURT: Let me look at it.

3

(Pause)

4

2

THE COURT: I'll allow the question.

5

MS. KACANI: Picking up on page 95 line 17.

6

"Q. I'd like you to tell me about the very first time that

7

Oscar performed oral sex on you.

8

"A. Please tell him it's very difficult for me to remember that

9

time because I was a very young man and I don't remember. I

10

was a boy. It was a long time ago. And please tell him that

11

it was something that was so terrible.

12

"Q. Can you tell me or not?

13

"A. No.

14

"Q. Where were you at that time?

15

"A. How so where was I?

16

"Q. Where were you when Oscar first performed oral sex on you?

17

"A. I'm trying to tell you that I don't remember.

18

"Q. When was the first time you remember Oscar performed oral

19

sex on you?

20

"A. Please tell Mr. that I don't remember."

21

MR. PARKER: Your Honor, I believe we have an

22

agreement between counsel that the testimony from page 96/line

23

21 to page 97/line 14 should be stricken.

24

MR. SAXENA: Plaintiffs agree.

25

THE COURT: All right, if you stipulate to that.

- MS. KACANI: Picking up on page 97/line 15. 1
- 2 "Q. How many times in total did Oscar perform oral sex on you?
- 3 "A. Please tell the gentleman that it's very difficult for me
- to respond to that question because truthfully I don't remember 4
- 5 how many times, I just know it was various times. 20, 25, 30.
- I don't know. Tell him it's very difficult for me to remember 6
- 7 every moment that I did that. That he did that.
- "Q. And on any of those times when Oscar performed oral sex on 8
- 9 you was anyone else present or a witness to that?
- 10 "A. One time in the coat check room I asked Oscar for an
- 11 advance. Oscar made me wait in the coat check. I was waiting
- 12 like a mad man because I needed to go. And he entered the coat
- 13 check room in order to try to perform oral sex on me. And he
- 14 did it. But truthfully, I don't know how it was that it
- 15 happened. At that time there was Enriquetta Pastor and CK
- 16 Yvonne Reyes.
- 17 "Q. Where is the coat check room?
- 18 "A. It's in the sanitary bathrooms next to -- for the clients.
- It's at the entrance of the restaurant. There is the desk and 19
- 20 then to the left of the desk.
- 21 "Q. And on this one time where you say that Enriquetta and Ivan
- 22 were there what time of the day was that?
- 23 "A. It was in the late night, early evening.
- 24 "O. Early evening, did you say early evening?
- 25 "A. It was at night time. It was in the late evening around

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 | 11:00. I don't remember. 11, 11:30 or so. It was late.
- 2 "Q. And what do you believe Enriquetta and Ivan saw at that time?
- 4 | "A. That Oscar abused me.
- 5 | "Q. Were they -- did you see them?
  - "A. Ivan Reyes, yes. And Enriquetta heard a conversation.
  - "Q. Heard what conversation?"

MR. PARKER: Your Honor, if I may, I overlooked this testimony. I had intended to object to it. It is hearsay. I would like to interpose that objection at this point to the testimony at page 99/line 20 to line 24 as hearsay.

MR. SAXENA: I'm sorry, you said page 99/line 20 to where?

THE COURT: I'll have to read the whole testimony because it seems a little unclear whether he's misspeaking or not.

MR. SAXENA: Just before you do, your Honor, it's plaintiff's position that this is a party admission because these are Remi's employees acting in their capacity as agents of Remi.

THE COURT: They're not Remi, are they? Enriquetta.

By the way, is Enriquetta Pastor a relative of the witness?

MR. SAXENA: Is Enriquetta a relative, is that your question, Judge?

THE COURT: Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SAXENA: I believe she is. I don't know the exact relation right now, but she is a direct familial relative.

(Pause)

THE COURT: I have to go back to where the page was because I want to read the context of this. So the object is to the testimony where? On page 96, 97.

MR. PARKER: On page 99, your Honor, line 20.

MR. SAXENA: You also had a question, your Honor, that. I didn't want to leave unanswered. Ms. Pastor is not a manager of the restaurant. However, as an employee of the restaurant --

THE COURT: I'm sorry.

MR. SAXENA: Ms. Pastor, Enriquetta Pastor is not a manager at the restaurant. However, as an employee acting within scope of her duty, the testimony is still subject to Federal Rule of Evidence 801(d)(2)(D).

THE COURT: Did you take her deposition?

MR. SAXENA: We did.

THE COURT: No?

MR. SAXENA: We did.

THE COURT: Oh, you did.

MR. SAXENA: But any admission that is "made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed is admissible as a party admission."

1 THE COURT: I'm not sure that's always true. What's 2 your basis? 3 MR. SAXENA: I'm just actually reading from Federal 4 Rules of Evidence 801(d)(2)(D). 5 An analogous example, your Honor, might be two 6 employees of a trucking company are sitting in a truck driving 7 down the read. The truck gets into an accident and they make statements at the scene of the accident. Those statements 8 9 would be admissible against the trucking company. 10 THE COURT: Yes, but that's not what's happening here. I can't tell where it comes from. 801(d)(2)(D). 11 12 MR. SAXENA: 801(d)(2)(D). 13 THE COURT: I don't see why 14, line 14 to 16 is 14 admissible. And I don't see why 17 and 18 are admissible. 15 MR. PARKER: Really, it's that whole topic, your Honor, 14 to 24, it actually goes over to the following page 16 17 because the last question on page 99 also refers over to page 18 100/line 4. THE COURT: I would strike to 100/line 4. 19 20 MR. SAXENA: Did you say 100 through line 4? 21 THE COURT: Yes, I would agree with the defendant. 22 Any other portions you are objecting to, Mr. Parker? 23 MR. PARKER: That is all for now, Judge. 24 THE COURT: Well, it's now or never. 25 MR. PARKER: That's all as to this testimony.

- 1 THE COURT: All right.
- 2 MS. KACANI: Picking up on page 100/line 5.
- 3 "Q. You said that Ivan Reyes came to the door of the coat check 4 room?
- 5 "A. Yes, sir.
- 6 "Q. And he said what are you doing?
- 7 "A. Yes.
- "Q. Did you answer? 8
- 9 "A. I remained in shock.
- 10 "Q. Did you say anything?
- "A. And Oscar took -- and then Oscar left all at once. 11
- 12 "Q. Did you say --
- 13 "A. He grabbed him all at once. And he grabbed him and h said
- 14 let's -- come with me little boy. And he didn't say anything.
- 15 He didn't give me a chance to. I was in shock. And he walked
- 16 away with him.
- 17 "Q. You are saying Oscar got up and walked away with Ivan, is
- 18 that what you are saying?
- "A. And then he said, oh, pepito, let's go. And then they 19
- 20 walked away. I don't know if he was going to say anything.
- 21 didn't hear anything. I felt very bad. My friend saw him do
- 22 inappropriate things.
- 23 "Q. When Ivan came to the door of the coat check room where
- 24 were you and where was Oscar?
- 25 "A. They were behind the door.

- "Q. Who is they? 1
- "A. I was behind the door and Oscar was to the side -- Oscar 2
- 3 was off to the side. On the side with the door opened up.
- 4 "Q. Were you standing?
- "A. Yes. 5
- 6 "Q. And what position Oscar in?
- 7 "A. He was there. He was doing that. I don't know what
- 8 position.
- 9 "Q. Were your pants undone?
- 10 "A. They were on. He would only bring down the zipper and then
- 11 he would do the oral sex. Please pardon me. It's just that.
- 12 "Q. Now you told me that Oscar performed oral sex on you in the
- 13 coat check room. Was that more than once or was that just
- 14 once?
- 15 "A. I remember it was one time.
- 16 "Q. And there were -- there was more than one time in the
- 17 office?
- "A. Yes. 18
- "Q. In same office or in the back office? 19
- 20 "A. In the same office.
- 21 "Q. And the times that Oscar performed oral sex on you in the
- 22 office, were you standing or seated or what position were you
- 23 in?
- 24 "A. I would like to explain this to you in detail. Oscar would
- 25 be seated in the position that she is sitting in and the

- computer would be right there. Truthfully, I wouldn't walk any 1 2 more than being over here and he would make me walk over there. 3 It's close by. Here's the table. And then he would make me 4 stand here in order to cover the camera and then I don't know 5 what happened -- and he would control me because Oscar is a 6 very controlling person. And he controlled me and he did oral
  - "Q. So when he performed oral sex to you, he would be seated and you would be standing?
  - "A. Yes, sir.

sex to me in the office.

7

8

9

- 11 "Q. And did he take your pants down or just unzip the zipper?
- 12 "A. He intended to take off the pants but various times he
- 13 would only undo the zipper and then oral sex.
- 14 "Q. Were there times when your pants were taken down, your 15 pants were removed?
- 16 "A. One time in the Rialto Room, near the bathroom. He wanted 17 me to penetrate him.
- "Q. What did he say or do to lead you to believe that? 18
- "A. He did something very inappropriate. He made me believe 19
- 20 that that's what he wanted me to do. He took his pants down.
- 21 And he wanted me to penetrate him. And then he wanted me to
- 22 penetrate him and then I told him how so. I don't want to do
- 23 that. I didn't know anything about sex. That's all I can say.
- 24 The man, he opened his pants. He wanted me to penetrate him.
- 25 "Q. Did he say something to you about wanting to penetrate him?

- "A. He took down his pants.
- 2 "Q. Did he say anything?
- 3 "A. I don't remember, sir.
- "Q. And you told him that you didn't want to do that? 4
- 5 "A. I told him. I told him and we didn't do it.
- 6 "O. So you refused?
- 7 "A. Yes. How am I going to do that? I was very tired of that.
- He was always trying to control me. 8
- 9 "Q. Did you ever refuse to allow him to perform oral sex on
- 10 vou?
- "A. Yes. 11
- 12 "Q. When?
- 13 "A. I don't know the date.
- 14 "Q. How many times did you refuse?
- 15 "A. Many times. And inclusively, he threatened me one time in
- the area of the coffees, the coffee area. He was telling me --16
- 17 he would tell me that he was the boss. He was the owner.
- 18 Inclusively there was one time where he followed me" --
- 19 THE COURT: There was -- I think you put in the word
- 20 one.
- 21 MR. DONOVAN: OK.
- 22 "A. Inclusively there was the time where he followed me from
- 23 the Rialto Room downstairs. And I told him something. And you
- 24 know what he told me? You think they are going to believe you?
- 25 "Q. You say when Oscar threatened you, what did he say that you

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

considered to be a threat? 1

- "A. A big threat. He was the assistant to the manager, the right-hand man of the manager and he had the power to fire me and he told me that one time. He told me I'm the boss here right now. And he wanted me to do oral sex on me near where the ice machine was and many times by God someone was coming
- "Q. In the times that Oscar performed oral sex on you did you ejaculate in his mouth?
- "A. I don't know.

by, walking by.

- 11 "Q. When you say you don't know, what do you mean by that?
- 12 "A. I was a young man and I couldn't tell you if I knew how to 13 ejaculate or not. I only felt pressure and emotion."
- 14 MS. KACANI: Picking up on page 108/line 8.
- "Q. You say that on one occasion you had asked Oscar for a cash 15 16 advance, correct?
- 17 "A. Yes, of my money.
- 18 "Q. Why were you looking for a cash advance?
- 20 wasn't earning well. And another thing, me, a young man, a 21 boy, I paid rent. I would go out with my companions from

"A. It was during a period. One of the things was that I

- 22 school and I would spend money. I would be without money.
- 23 would go out and have drinks. I would remain without money.
- 24 "Q. The time that you asked for -- the time that you asked
- 25 Oscar for a cash advance and you say he performed oral sex on

- you, is that the first time that you asked him for a cash 1 2 advance?
- "A. No. 3

17

18

19

- 4 "Q. So you had asked Oscar for cash advances prior to that 5 time?
- 6 "A. I would say that the first time he didn't ask me for 7 anything. He loaned me. He called me pepito and he touched me over here. And he would caress my cheeks. And then he told 8 9 me, OK, let's go downstairs.
- 10 "Q. Before that time, did you ever ask Oscar for any cash 11 advances?
- 12 "A. I don't remember, sir.
- 13 "Q. To your knowledge, did other employees ask for cash 14 advances?
- 15 "A. Not that I know of, sir.
  - "Q. And then after the first time -- after the time when you asked him for a cash advance and he said let's go downstairs, you went to the office and that's when he performed oral sex, correct?
- 20 "A. Yes, he gave it to me. I signed. But that day -- but I 21 don't know if that day there was any oral sex.
- 22 "Q. What did you sign?
- 23 "A. The negative that in order to prove that I had asked for 24 money.
  - "Q. Did you ask anyone else at Remi for cash advances other

- than Oscar? 1
- "A. No. Only my brother. 2
- 3 "Q. Why did you ask Oscar for cash advances?
- 4 "A. It was very difficult to tell my brother I didn't have any
- 5 money. And Oscar was the one who controlled the money for the 6 tips.
- 7 "Q. Who else controlled the money for the tips?"
  - MS. KACANI: Your Honor, if I may, can we have a five minute break?
- 10 THE COURT: Finish what you were going to read for 11 now.
- 12 MS. KACANI: Picking up on page 110 line 17.
- 13 "Q. Who else controlled the money for the tips?
- 14 "A. I remember the -- I now remember the name, the last name of
- 15 the person that you asked me for before. It was Pablo so lease
- 16 and Jose Ortiz. But Jose Ortiz it didn't interest him very
- 17 much.

- "Q. What didn't interest him very much? 18
- "A. The money when they were paying. He was from the group of 19
- 20 Oscar, Pablo and Joseph. But I never saw him there paying us.
- 21 "Q. Pablo and Oscar paid you, right?
- 22 "A. Yes, sir. Pablo was one of the people who was more in the
- 23 system because Oscar was one of the managers."
- 24 THE COURT: I see it's going to go on for quite
- 25 awhile. You can have your break now.

"A. No.

```
1
               MS. KACANI: Thank you, Judge.
 2
               THE COURT: Five minute break.
 3
               (Recess)
 4
               MR. SAXENA: Your Honor, I believe we left off --
 5
               THE COURT: Yes.
6
               MR. SAXENA: -- at page 111/line 12. Plaintiff's
 7
      withdraw the objection to this testimony from lines 12 through
      14.
8
9
               MS. KACANI: Picking up on page 111/line 12.
10
      "Q. And did you ever ask Pablo for a cash advance?
      "A. No.
11
      "Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Pistorio about any of the things that
12
13
      you say Oscar was doing to you?
14
      "A. Let me tell you. One time I told Mr. Pistorio about what
      happened with one person and he didn't do anything. And how
15
      was I going to tell him this time. Maybe he was going to fire
16
17
     me. I was a boy. And he wasn't going to believe. And yes, I
     told him.
18
      "O. Told him what?
19
20
      "A. That there was somebody who was touching me.
21
      "Q. When did you tell him that?
22
      "A. I don't have any idea. It's been a long time that has
23
     passed.
24
      "Q. Was anyone else present when you told him that?
```

- "Q. What else did you tell him besides that there was someone who was touching you?
- 3 "A. I told him that I didn't feel comfortable working with
- 4 somebody who was doing those things. And I also told him that
- 5 I didn't want to work with the man any more. I said that I was
- 6 afraid to tell him about Oscar. Oscar was his right hand man.
- 7 If you would know, one time Oscar fired me and Pistorio became
- 8 very crazy.
- 9 "Q. What else did you tell Pistorio besides telling him that
- 10 someone was touching you and you didn't want to work with them
- 11 any more?
- 12 "A. I don't remember, sir.
- 13 "Q. And when you told him that, you didn't mention Oscar's
- 14 name, correct?
- "A. No. 15
- 16 "Q. It's not correct, you did mention Oscar's name?
- 17 "A. I did not mention his name.
- 18 "Q. And what did Mr. Pistorio say in response?
- 19 "A. Yes, young man, I'm going to try to do the best. And he
- 20 spoke with the chef and they spoke with the man who was doing
- 21 those terrible things.
- 22 "Q. You say that Pistorio spoke with the chef. Who is the
- 23 chef?
- 24 "A. Giovanni.
- 25 "Q. Were you there when he spoke with Giovanni?

"A. No."

MR. PARKER: Your Honor, the next portion, page 113/line 20 all the way over to page 117/line 19.

(Continued on next page)

THE COURT: 117, line 18, I will have to read it.

The grounds for your objection?

MR. PARKER: There is hearsay in there.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. PARKER: And, as well, this goes to, Judge, one of the aspects of our in limine motion having to do with the case becoming far affair.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

MR. PARKER: Having to do with our in limine motion that we brought to exclude this entire testimony, but one of the aspects of that motion was with respect to the case becoming far afield from what the claims are in the case and trying a case within a case with respect to this witness.

MR. SAXENA: Judge, briefly, I know you have to read the testimony, but our position on that is that this testimony pertains to a relevant time period when Moises Pastor was working at the same time as Mr. Caravantes and Mr. Sotarriba.

This testimony also pertains -- as you'll read it, you'll seem to notice because the general manager was directly involved in this particular incident. And it also pertains to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

the hostility of the environment at the time.

THE COURT: I started reading, so I've got to begin now where? Line 20, page 113?

MR. PARKER: Yes.

(Pause)

THE COURT: I'm going to allow page 113, line 20, through 117, line 19, and I think in view of the testimony that Cajita apologized to the witness after speaking with Mr. Giovanni, it constitutes an admission of the conduct happening.

MS. KACANI: Picking up on page 113, line 20:

- "Q How do you know that he spoke with Giovanni about what you told him?
- "A Giovanni called him downstairs. He singled him out and said, Come here, I want to speak with you and in private. I'm sure it was about that. And he came over and he told me to to forgive him.
- 18 "Q Who came over and told you to forgive him?
- 19 " A That man who was touching me.
- 20 " () Who was that?
- 21 "A One name Cajita.
- 22 So there was a man by the name of Cajita?
- 23 That's what they called him. I didn't have a lot of 24 friendships there so I didn't ask them.
- 25 And you say that this Cajita improperly touched you too? "0

C3udcar3

"A Yes.

1

- 2 **"** O When was that?
- 3 "A When I was a white jacket.
  - And how did he touch you, where?
- 5 In my buttocks. The man, he didn't have -- he didn't wear 6 any undergarments. He would change in front of us and he 7 didn't have any underclothes on. And you could see everything.
- You could see his penis. 8
- 9 "Q He would change where?
- 10 "A With us in the locker room.
- 11 And how many times did Cajita touch you on the butt?
- "A It was a few times. And he did it after that they had 12
- 13 spoken to him. But he calmed down because my brother told him
- 14 to stop or there was going to be problems.
- 15 Did you identify Cajita to Giovanni?
- "A 16 Yes.
- 17 "O Before the break we were discussing this occasion where you
- spoke with Pistorio about someone touching you, then you spoke 18
- 19 with Giovanni, the chef, and identified Cajita as a person who
- 20 was touching you, right?
- 21 I said that I spoke to Mr. Pistorio. Pistorio spoke to the
- 22 chef Giovanni and then he said it's him, it's him. Why don't
- 23 you come over here. This is the staircase. Here is the
- 24 kitchen. Here is where he works at.
- 25 "Giovanni came down and I was in the area over here,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

and that's the area for the white jackets. And he asked me who was the one who was touching you. And then I said, It's him, Cajita. And then he said, Hey, you, I need to talk to you in private. And then he took him over to the area that's called the chef's table.

"Q And and after that took place, after Giovanni spoke with Cajita, did you have any further problems with Cajita? "A He touched me again. But what was I going to do if they never did anything? I felt bad. I began to cry and my brother saw me. He asked me what had happened. And I told him that the man, he touched me.

"And then he went over and he talked to the man. said to stop because if he didn't, that they were going to be serious problems. And from there I never spoke to him anymore. I never spoke with him anymore.

"Q Now, after you complained about Cajita the first time to Pistorio, did you go back to Pistorio after Cajita had touched you again and tell him?

"A No.

Did you go back to Giovanni and tell Giovanni that Cajita had touched you again after Giovanni had spoken with him?

Let me tell you that Giovanni, he didn't like me.

- "Q Just answer my question, please.
- 24 "A I couldn't tell him.
  - **"** O Did you or did you not?

"M. Pastor

- "A I couldn't tell him. 1
- "Q Did you or did you not? 2
  - "A I did not.
  - "Q Did you tell your brother that Oscar was performing oral sex on you while you worked at Remi?
  - "A No."

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Pause)

MR. PARKER: Your Honor, the next portion, beginning at page 118, line 14, carrying over to 120, line 9, objection on hearsay grounds.

MR. SAXENA: Your Honor, plaintiffs' position is that this is, as well, a party admission. These are all Remi employees speaking while employed at Remi in the course of their duties concerning one of their busboys.

(Pause)

THE COURT: I have to read it.

(Pause)

What are the grounds for the plaintiff seeking this admission?

MR. SAXENA: On hearsay grounds, Judge, or relevance?

THE COURT: Either one.

MR. SAXENA: Well, it is relevant, one, because it shows the waiters' knowledge concerning the conduct and the pervasiveness of the conduct and the fact that they felt they need to protect Mr. Pastor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And on hearsay grounds, again, they are Remi employees. This is again 801(d)(2)(D). This testimony is being offered against Remi. It is testimony by Remi employees during the scope of -- during the time that they were employed and within the scope of their employment.

(Pause)

THE COURT: You've got another point to make?

MR. SAXENA: Just one more point -- two more points.

One, the testimony concerns the fact that Mr. Velandia is gay, which is relevant for the discrimination on the basis of sex point.

And, number two, it's relevant -- just like the previous --

THE COURT: It is not disputed, is it? It is not disputed that he's gay?

MR. SAXENA: That is correct, your Honor. But it's also relevant for the point that -- it goes to the lack of a reasonable complaint mechanism.

THE COURT: What?

MR. SAXENA: It goes to the lack of a reasonable complaint mechanism, because Moises Pastor is going to the waiters here and asking for their protection. He can't go to management because management has already ignored his complaints.

THE COURT: He can't go to management why?

23

24

25

1 MR. SAXENA: Because management has already ignored his complaints, as we just heard with regard to the Cajita 2 3 complaints. 4 (Pause) 5 THE COURT: I am going to strike the testimony. I 6 don't think it is -- he acknowledged that he was gay, and it 7 appears to be hearsay, conclusory testimony, as opposed to anything that directly involved the parties or the conduct. 8 9 Let's go on. 10 MS. KACANI: Starting off on page 120, line 10. While you worked at Remi, did you tell anybody who worked 11 12 at Remi about any of the things that you are accusing Oscar of 13 doing to you? 14 MR. PARKER: Your Honor, there an objection box there, 15 line 14 and 15. That is our objection. We withdraw it. 16 THE COURT: Your objection is withdrawn? 17 MR. PARKER: Yes. 18 THE COURT: All right. 19 (Reading) 20 Let me tell you that he's the manager." "A No. 21

MR. PARKER: Your Honor, beginning the next section, page 120, line 16, through 128, line 18, we have an agreement with counsel that that will be stricken.

MR. SAXENA: The plaintiffs agree.

THE COURT: 16 through?

3

4

5

6

MR. PARKER: 120, line 16, through 128, line 18. 1

THE COURT: All right. That is stipulated.

MS. KACANI: Beginning with page 128, line 19:

- "Q How many times did you ask Oscar for a cash advance while you worked at Remi?
- "A I don't remember. 18 or 20 times.
- 7 "Q And each time you asked did you receive a cash advance?
- "A Oscar, yes. One time, no. Well, he told me a few times, 8
- 9 once or twice he told me, oh, Pepito, I can't do this right
- 10 now. How am I going to go down to the office to give you
- 11 money? The people are going to see. The people are going to
- 12 see that I'm doing this and that I'm giving you advances. Oh,
- 13 and they were also going to start asking for advances.
- 14 "Q Was there -- was there a policy against giving cash
- 15 advances at Remi?
- 16 "A I don't know.
- 17 "Q What amounts of money did you ask for when you asked for
- cash advances from Oscar? 18
- "A 100, 150, 180, 80. I don't know, I don't remember. It was 19
- 20 money.
- 21 "Q Each time you asked for -- Oscar for an advance and
- 22 received it, did you sign a document?
- 23 "A He would write on the envelope on a small card, and then he
- 24 would write down how much I would earn in a week minus this and
- 25 then he would sign it.

- 0" On what envelope did he write this? 1
- 2 '' A The ones that they would pay us from. Regular envelopes.
- 3 "0 What color were the envelopes?
- 4 "A White.
- 5 And where did Oscar gets the envelope from?
- 6 "A From the top.
- 7 0" Top of what?
- "A It was a small piece of wood. A small -- yes, a small 8
- 9 piece of wood. I would see that he would stick his hand up
- there and he would pull it out. 10
- In what room in the restaurant? 11 **"** O
- 12 "A In the office.
- 13 In the same office where he performed oral sex on you or in
- 14 the other office?
- 15 "A In the same office.
- "O Other than the time that Oscar came into the locker room 16
- and sat on your lap or on your legs and the times when Oscar 17
- 18 performed oral sex on you, were there any other times when
- 19 Oscar improperly touched you?
- 20 "A Not that I remember right now. I don't know. It's very
- 21 difficult for me to remember this.
- 22 "Q Were there any things or comments that Oscar said to you at
- 23 any time which you claim were improper?
- 24 "A May you repeat it for me, please?
- 25 "Well, Oscar has made some commentaries and they were

1 very inappropriate. "Q That's what I'm asking about. 2 3 "A Yes, he did say that. What did he say? 4 "0 5 "A Well, let me begin by telling you that in the third station 6 there was a party, and Oscar opened the wine and there was a 7 napkin and he cleaned the wine. And it got a little bit red. And then he's saying, oh, poppy, look, my period. And then he 8 9 went, look, oh, poppy, my period. He said, look, my period, 10 and I've ejaculated. "And then I stood there" --11 12 MR. PARKER: Hold on. 13 THE COURT: Objection to this part? 14 MR. PARKER: Yes, your Honor. 15 The objection is -- the question was what did he say, and after Mr. Pastor completed --16 17 THE COURT: There is no objection in the record. Let 18 me just read it. 19 (Pause) 20 MR. PARKER: This is on page 132, line 14, to line 25. 21 The objection is it is unresponsive to the question. 22 It goes beyond the scope of the question. 23 MR. SAXENA: But it's relevant. 24 (Pause)

THE COURT: I don't see what it adds, so I am going to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

strike it.

MR. SAXENA: Well, just quickly, your Honor. actually also think it goes to modus operandi in terms of the specifics of the way that the conduct is described, in that it is the moving of the body and the positioning and the isolating is similar to other testimony that we have heard in this case.

THE COURT: You can't tell from this testimony -- I understand your point but it takes guesswork. I have to speculate as to what he means by that. I can't tell from his testimony what it means.

It could mean that, I agree with that.

MS. KACANI: Beginning on page 133, line 2: "Q I just want to know what he said, that's all. Said or did. How you felt is a different question. I just want to know what he said.

"Other than that, were there any other comments that he made to you while you worked at Remi that you feel were improper?

"A Yes.

"Q What else -- when did this take place where he had the napkin and he rubbed up against you? When did it occur?

"A It occurred at the third station.

**"** O When?

I don't have any idea. As I said before, it was "A afterwards. It was after seven. It was after 2007. I don't C3udcar3

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

remember what year. It was only very terrible for me, that's why I remember it. It was in the afternoon, it was about 6 or 7.

- What month and what year did it happen in?
- 5 "A Please tell Mr. Kerry what -- that I don't remember what 6 day or year that it occurred.
  - "Q What other comments did Oscar make to you during your employment at Remi that you say were inappropriate?
  - "A There was another time where we were in the atrium and there was a big party and that he sent all of them -- he sent everyone else to go eat and then he left me alone. And then I told him, What's going on, Oscar, let me go eat. And then I told him in English. And he responded to me in English, and he told me that if I was taking care of you, I would let you leave early. He said that he was worrying about me. He told me he was going to let me leave early because they were in the Rialto and they were going to leave early. He told me that, You don't speak English, you Mexican. And then he told me, Since I'm going to take care of you, I'm going to let you leave early. That was one of the commentaries that he made. For what did I need that? I didn't need anything from him.
- 22 When did that take place?
- I only know that it was a Saturday in the evening, sir. 23 " A
- 24 **"** O What month and year?
  - "A I believe it was in 2006. I don't remember the month.

C3udcar3

"Q Were there any other comments that Oscar made to you that you claim were inappropriate?

"A Yes.

1

2

- 4 "Q What else did he say to you that you claim is
- 5 inappropriate?
- 6 "A I believe it was in the morning. It was after lunch.
- 7 were going to go take a break. I was there with the manager.
- I was making the napkins. I was working hard because -- I was 8
- 9 sweating because I was working hard. He came and he touched my
- 10 sweat and he said, Oh, I like your sweat because it's very
- 11 sexy, Pepito. And he said, Oh, I love you. Oh, how much I
- 12 love you. Oh, how do I love you. How do I love you.
- 13 "Q Were there any witnesses to that, to your knowledge?
- 14 "A Not that I know of, sir.
- "Q When did he -- when did Oscar say that to you, what month 15
- 16 and year?
- 17 "A I don't remember, sir, but I do remember that it was in the
- 18 summer because I was sweating.
- "Q Were there any other comments that Oscar made to you that 19
- 20 you felt were inappropriate that you haven't already testified
- 21 to?
- 22 "A Not that I remember at this moment.
- 23 "Q Your complaint alleges that Velandia subjected you to
- 24 nearly daily sexual touching.
- 25 "A Yes.

- 0" When did that occur?
- Every day that I would go in to work, he would come over to 2 '' A
- 3 me. He would grab my hand and would say, oh, Pepito how are
- 4 you. He would hug me and say how have you been.
  - "Q When did Oscar start to do that?
- 6 I don't remember, sir, but I believe that it was a little
- 7 bit after I became a busboy.
- "Q Other than what you just testified to about touching your 8
- 9 hand or putting his arm around you and asking how you are
- 10 doing, was there any other way in which Oscar touched you on a
- 11 daily basis during your employment at Remi?
- 12 Right now I don't remember, sir. It was a long time ago.
- "Q 13 Did you ever tell Oscar to stop touching you?
- 14 "A Yes.
- 15 "0 When?
- I tell you, it was a long time. It's very difficult for me 16
- 17 to remember the date.
- "Q In what month and in what year did you first tell Oscar to 18
- 19 stop touching you?
- 20 "A It was a little bit after, he was speaking with Ivan Reyes
- 21 a lit bit more. A little bit after Ivan saw him Oscar didn't
- 22 want to talk to me very much -- I mean more after that. And
- 23 then I told him after that to stop. How could he continue to
- 24 do this to me.
- 25 Are you able to tell me when that was, what month and year **"** O

- you told him to stop?
- I can only tell you that it was a little bit after that 2
- 3 that incident occurred with Ivan but I don't remember.
- Did you ever physically push Oscar away from you? 4
- 5 "A When he had my penis on the outside I would grab onto his
- 6 head and I would push him away and say no, no, because I felt
- 7 that this was bad.
- "Q How many times did you do that? 8
- 9 "A Some seven times or eight.
- 10 And what happened on those times when you pushed him away?
- 11 He told me that he was my husband -- oh, no -- he told me
- 12 that he was the boss. And he had that -- and he wore the
- 13 jacket. That he could do everything that he wanted to do.
- 14 "Q And did you believe that that was true?
- 15 I believe that he had the jacket on and that he was there
- 16 as the manager.
- 17 Was the jacket for people who were headwaiters?
- 18 "A I know that he was the manager.
- 19 How do you know that he was the manager?
- 20 "A He was the man who made the reports. And he also fired me
- 21 before then.
- 22 "Q Made what reports?
- 23 "A What was sold during the day. For example, if a waiter
- 24 would bring a bad order to one of the tables, he would
- 25 reprimand them. He ran the Rialto Room. And as I told you

2

3

before, he fired me one time because he was the manager. Mr. Pistorio told me.

- "O Mr. Pistorio told you what?
- 4 "A And he yelled at me. He told me not to disrespect
- 5 Mr. Velandia anymore like that because he had the same power as
- I do. And he told me that if he wasn't there it was like if --6
- 7 if he was there when Oscar was there. That if he wasn't there
- it was like -- when Oscar was there it was like if he was there 8
- 9 himself.
- 10 When did Oscar fire you?
- "A 11 2006. I believe it was the summer.
- 12 Well, what happened that led to Oscar firing you?
- 13 "A Please tell him that I want to explain to him in detail.
- 14 There was those young men who were tough guys, they were good
- 15 looking guys, they were big and they were strong. He let them
- go because they had made 50 napkins. And I hadn't finished my 16
- 17 50 napkins -- oh, I had finished my 50 napkins. I went and I
- 18 told him, Oscar, I'm going to take a break because I'm tired.
- 19 And that I work also in the afternoon and they left and they
- 20 don't work.
- 21 "He told me that, no, you can't leave because you have
- 22 to make 250 more napkins. And then I asked him why. And then
- 23 he told me, You are going to ask or you believe that I'm going
- 24 to tell you why? He told me that if I only have three
- 25 reservations and then all of a sudden 150 people would come in,

or he said maybe 500 people came in, who is going to make the napkins? Oh, who is going to be responsible if there are no napkins? I told him, Oscar, don't mess around with me like that, or don't play around like that with me because you've already let them go and you are asking me to do this and it's already -- and I work in the afternoon. I had 30 minutes to go and eat something. He didn't want to let me go on my break. And then he told me, OK, you're fired.

"I went to go change. Pablo Solece came behind me and he asked me what had happened. And I told him that Oscar fired me. I couldn't talk because I was crying. And I was also gagging and also because of the emotion. And then my brother came about two minutes afterwards and he told me let's go upstairs. And then I told him to give me another opportunity — oh, and he told Oscar to give me another opportunity. And then Oscar told me, OK, make 250 napkins.

"And that's when Mr. Pistorio came and — after I — I did the 250 napkins, and that's when the next day Mr. Pistorio came and told me that you can't talk to Oscar like that. He is the manager of the restaurant. And that he has the same power as I do. And to respect him like myself. And that if he wasn't there, that Oscar was an example of him. It's just like if he was there.

"Q Was anyone else present when Mr. Pistorio said that to you?

"A Truthfully, no. We bumped into each other by coincidence

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
because I was going to go and cash my check. Oh, I went to go
and bring my check to the restaurant -- oh, I went to go get my
check from the restaurant and he saw me. And that's when he
began to argue with me. And I told him to forgive me, that I
wouldn't do it again."
```

MS. KACANI: Picking up on page 144, line 13:

"Q Did you ever perform oral sex on Oscar?"

THE COURT: Just one second.

(Pause)

All right. What line?

MS. KACANI: Page 144, line 13:

"0 Did you ever perform oral sex on Oscar?

"A No.

Earlier you testified that you had received oral sex from Oscar in the office and in the coat room?

Coat check room.

And in the Rialto Room -- near the Rialto Room, correct?

"A Please tell him that you've forgotten about the cafeteria."

MR. PARKER: Your Honor, the next portion is objected to, page 144, line 24 through page 145, line 11. The answer is completely unresponsive to the question. The question was repeated at 145, line 12 to 15, and it was answered at that time.

MR. SAXENA: Your Honor, the response is responsive and relevant. It actually relates details of the incident in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

question, and Mr. Pastor then does clarify that it was one time only.

(Pause)

THE COURT: I am going to allow the testimony.

MS. KACANI: Beginning at page 144, line 24:

"Q How many times did Oscar perform oral sex on you in the cafeteria?

"A The time that I told -- that I told you that he yelled at me and he told me that I'm the boss and I was in the cafeteria but thank God Oscar was coming. He was already pulling down my zipper, and he went there for a glass of milk also. He went there for a glass of milk. I'm remembering now he was there also getting a glass of milk, and he said that, oh, this tastes like a man's milk.

- "Q How many times did that happen -- did he perform oral sex on you in the cafeteria?
- 17 "A One time only.
  - "Q Were you present at any meeting where Mr. Pistorio discussed with other employees physical touching at work?
- 20 "A Not that I remember, sir."

MR. SAXENA: Your Honor, that's the end of that day of the deposition, and then a blue sheet appears in the binder after which the transcript of another day of Mr. Moises Pastor's deposition starts.

(Pause)

22

23

24

25

1 THE COURT: What page? MR. SAXENA: So the continued deposition begins on 2 3 page 155, and the first designated testimony begins on page 161. 4 All right. 5 THE COURT: 6 MR. PARKER: Your Honor, the --7 THE COURT: Who is doing the questioning? MR. PARKER: Your Honor, I'm doing the guestion. 8 9 THE COURT: Still Mr. Parker? 10 MR. PARKER: Yes. 11 MR. SAXENA: And it is still through an interpreter, 12 your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. 14 MR. PARKER: Judge, on page 161, lines 14 through 19, that testimony is directly related to testimony on the 15 following page, 162, lines 9 through 11, and the objection is 16 17 that it is clearly hearsay. THE COURT: You want it or you don't want it? 18 MR. PARKER: I don't want it. I am objecting to it. 19 20 MR. SAXENA: Plaintiffs' position, your Honor, is that

it is not hearsay pursuant to, again -- or not "again," pursuant to Rule 801, because it is a declarant witness' prior consistent statement. In this case, Sergio Pastor has testified, was subject to cross-examination in this case. the veracity of his testimony --

THE COURT: On which issue are you talking?

MR. SAXENA: His testimony concerning the conduct at the restaurant, specifically whether oral sex happened. He provided testimony that Mr. Velandia did perform oral sex, and then was questioned as to his omission of that conduct from his Human Rights complaint. With the attack being that, you know, why didn't you put it on the complaint if it happened.

This testimony is a prior consistent statement because he is telling Moises Pastor that Mr. Velandia performed oral sex on him.

THE COURT: I want to take a short break and I'll be back in a few moments. I have to take a call.

(Recess)

THE COURT: I'm not going to allow that testimony, because there is no showing that it was prior in the timeframe, prior --

MR. SAXENA: I'm sorry, Judge, prior to?

THE COURT: It is not showing that it was a prior consistent statement.

MR. SAXENA: I guess our position would be that the relevant statement would be Mr. -- the testimony that Mr. Sergio Pastor provided at the trial would be the comparison statement, and this statement was prior to that statement. Although I understand there is no date put on the testimony here, this deposition was certainly prior to --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: The deposition isn't the test. It seems to me that during the events in question is what made the timing.

Go ahead.

MS. KACANI: Your Honor, the next designation starts at 175, line 12, and I believe there is an objection.

> THE COURT: 175?

MS. KACANI: Line 12.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SAXENA: Yes, your Honor. This is plaintiffs' objection. There are two reasons.

First, it is cumulative. The date on which Mr. Pastor left Remi Restaurant had already been established in the previous testimony; and, two, the only thing that is added by this testimony is the comment about the group home. Since that relates to issues with drug treatment and drug use, we don't think it is relevant here and it is prejudicial.

MR. PARKER: Your Honor, the purpose is to show the reason why Pastor left his employment with Remi. There is no other testimony anywhere in the record that shows it.

MR. SAXENA: I'm not sure why that's relevant, either.

THE COURT: This is the only testimony that the plaintiff is offering? Is there any other testimony about this?

MR. SAXENA: The defendants are offering the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

testimony, your Honor.

THE COURT: That the defendants are offering, I'm sorry. I'm really asking Mr. Parker.

MR. PARKER: This is the only testimony that I was able to find that provides the background as to why Mr. Pastor terminated his employment at Remi. It is for factual information as to why he left.

THE COURT: Well, does this relate in some way to the testimony about his credibility?

MR. PARKER: No, it does not. And the plaintiff -THE COURT: During the time that he was at Remi, or
his ability to observe?

MR. PARKER: No. It simply provides the reason that his employment terminated at Remi.

If the plaintiffs are willing to stipulate that he quit, then we don't need the testimony.

THE COURT: Is there an issue on that?

MR. SAXENA: I just don't think it is relevant, Judge.

I mean --

THE COURT: Is there an issue about when he quit?

MR. SAXENA: There is not an issue about when he quit.

THE COURT: Or whether he quit?

MR. SAXENA: I mean, there is no issue that he was not fired from the restaurant. I don't know what "quit" means necessarily in this context.

25

or Bellevue Hospital?

1 THE COURT: You mean, there is no question he left the 2 employment? 3 MR. SAXENA: Correct. 4 THE COURT: And that they did not fire him? 5 MR. SAXENA: Also correct. THE COURT: Is that sufficient? 6 7 MR. PARKER: That is fine, Judge. THE COURT: All right. Then I will strike the 8 9 testimony on page 175, 12 through 17. 10 MR. SAXENA: Judge, the testimony next picks up on 11 page 177. 12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 MR. SAXENA: And plaintiffs have asserted an objection 14 to this testimony through 178, line 3. 15 THE COURT: In view of the last stipulation, is this 16 necessary? 17 MR. PARKER: It's not necessary except, your Honor, 18 with respect to some evidence that we have, documents from a treatment facility that are being offered for impeachment 19 20 purposes. I don't particularly need this testimony, but I 21 don't want this to be perceived as a waiver of our right to 22 introduce the documents. 23 THE COURT: For what purpose are you offering the

treatment testimony, the medical records from the Phoenix House

1 MR. PARKER: It is not Bellevue Hospital. Phoenix 2 House. 3 THE COURT: But Bellevue is mentioned. 4 MR. PARKER: Bellevue was mentioned, but we would not 5 be introducing any evidence with respect to Bellevue. Phoenix House, there are records --6 7 THE COURT: I understand what Phoenix House is. 8 MR. PARKER: OK. 9 THE COURT: So for what purpose are you offering the 10 records from Phoenix House? To affect his credibility? 11 MR. PARKER: To affect his credibility. 12 THE COURT: How is it -- during what period of time? 13 MR. PARKER: To statements he made to the Phoenix 14 House during two separate stays there regarding the critical 15 issues in the case. THE COURT: Well, I'll defer ruling on this. You can 16 17 read it in, and it will be subject to striking based on what those records are. I haven't examined those records. 18 19 MR. PARKER: Thank you. 20 MS. KACANI: Beginning on page 177, line 18: 21 "Q After you left Bellevue Hospital from your visit there, did 22 you receive substance abuse treatment? 23 " A Yes, but I went -- it was a little bit late. 24 Where and when did you receive substance abuse treatment? **"** O 25 "A I went to this place called Phoenix House. It was close to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

2008, November, around there."

MR. SAXENA: Your Honor, the testimony picks up on 179, at line 15, and plaintiffs have an objection to this testimony, as well. This testimony concerns the substance abuse treatment at the Phoenix House.

There is nothing intrinsic to this testimony that shows any sort of impeachment or lack of veracity. It is simple, matter-of-fact testimony. And the defendants aren't permitted to introduce extrinsic evidence outside of the cross-examination, which is what the Phoenix House records would be if the purpose is to attack veracity here.

THE COURT: How can I rule on that at this time? Couldn't I do the same thing with the verbal one, when I have the other evidence before me and I know what it is?

MR. SAXENA: Yes, sure, your Honor. That makes sense.

THE COURT: I will defer it on that.

MS. KACANI: Beginning on page 179, line 15:

"Q Well, did you tell anyone at the Phoenix House when you were there that in 2008 that you smoked marijuana daily since you were 12 years old?

- "A I don't remember that.
- 22 "Q Well, have you smoked marijuana since you were 12 years 23 old?
- 24 "A I don't think so.
  - **"** O Have you used cocaine since you were 13 years old?

"A I don't think so."

MR. SAXENA: Your Honor, the testimony picks up on 181, at line 12 through 17. The plaintiffs have asserted an objection to this testimony as well as the testimony that follows, from 182 to 183. The testimony is not probative of any issue in this case, nor I believe does it even touch on veracity. And, in any event, the testimony is that Moises Pastor was not a member of any gang. So just a suggestion of gang activity is just purely prejudicial without any probative value.

MR. PARKER: Judge, this falls within the same category of what we just discussed. It's impeachment -
THE COURT: What evidence on this subject are you

going to offer besides this testimony?

MR. PARKER: His statements to Phoenix House.

THE COURT: What?

MR. PARKER: His statements to the Phoenix House. His admissions to the Phoenix House.

THE COURT: I don't know what statements to Phoenix

House you are referring to, and I don't see how it relates to

any issue -- I mean, I have nothing before me to show me that

it has anything to do with his credibility.

MR. PARKER: All I'm suggesting is that this should be treated in the same way that --

THE COURT: All right. Subject to connection.

You can read it.

MS. KACANI: Starting on page 181, line 12:

- Are you familiar with a gang called Raza Loca?
- "A Yes.

1

2

3

4

- Have you ever been a member of that gang?
- "A No." 6
- 7 MS. KACANI: Picking up on page 182, line 11:
- "Q Did you tell anyone at the Phoenix House that you had to 8 9 fight three people as part of the initiation into Raza Loca?
- 10 "A I don't remember but that is one of the rules of that gang.
- 11 "Q And did you fight three people in order to be inducted into
- 12 Raza Loca?
- 13 "A No, because I was never a member.
- 14 "Q Did you fight anyone in order to be inducted into Raza
- 15 Loca?
- 16 "A No.
- 17 "Q When you went to the Phoenix House, did you meet counselors
- 18 there?
- 19 "A Yes.
- 20 Did they ask you a lot of questions?
- 21 "A Many."
- 22 MR. SAXENA: Judge, the testimony picks up at 187, on
- 23 line 6 through 20, and we have asserted an objection. And I
- 24 have a feeling that Mr. Parker is going to tell me that this is
- 25 in the same boat as what we have been talking about and that we

C3udcar3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are going to have to defer until we get the Phoenix House records before the Court.

MR. PARKER: That is correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Then the same ruling, I guess. The same

I will defer ruling subject to connection. ruling.

MS. KACANI: Beginning on page 187, line 6:

"Q Did you tell any of the counselors at the Phoenix House in 2008 that you started sniffing glue at age 10?

"A Not that I remember that.

"0 Did you do that?

I saw that but I didn't have the time to do it, no.

"Q Are you saying that you did not sniff glue beginning at age

13 10?

I am saying that I did not use glue at age 10.

Have you ever sniffed glue in order to get high?

"A No."

MR. SAXENA: I think that's it, Judge.

MS. KACANI: No, it is not.

MR. SAXENA: Is there more?

THE COURT: Next witness.

MS. KACANI: No, your Honor. There is more.

It starts on page 213, line 3, but I believe there is an objection.

> THE COURT: OK.

MR. PARKER: Your Honor, page 213, beginning at line

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

3, continuing over to 214, line 21, the deposition was taken when Mr. Pastor was a plaintiff. This was a typical question relating to claimed damages. Mr. Pastor is no longer a plaintiff. His response to this question is not relevant to this proceeding at this time.

MR. SAXENA: The plaintiffs' position is that we understand that damages are not at issue for Mr. Pastor, but the testimony still goes to show the hostility of the conduct and the environment.

THE COURT: Let me read it.

(Pause)

I will strike the testimony because he is not a party, and it appears to me that he is talking about events after he left the employ at Remi.

MR. SAXENA: Now that is it, Judge.

So I quess we will call our next witness.

It's Dr. Jessica Pearson.

THE COURT: You are excused, Mr. Donovan.

(Continued on next page)

20

21

22

23

24

1 JESSICA PEARSON,

called as a witness by the Plaintiff,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SAXENA:

MR. SAXENA: Actually, Judge, I do have one preliminary matter that pertains to Dr. Pearson's testimony.

We intend to offer Dr. Pearson as a psychological expert, and part of her testimony concerns certain psychological testing that was done. The company that authors this psychological testing have sent us a letter -- which I do have copies of, and I can pass around if you'd like -- asking that any discussion of the testing, the details of the testing and specific questions on things like that be subject to a protective order. And the reason is because if the details of that testing are disseminated publicly, it diminishes the effectiveness of the test.

THE COURT: What's the defense's position?

MR. PARKER: I have read the letter that was provided to me by counsel. Judge, I don't know how to respond. I don't necessarily object to the suggestion, but I don't know how you draw the line between what is sealed and what is not sealed. It seems to me to be a very vague request.

MR. SAXENA: If it is useful, Judge, I can pass up the letter.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I'll look at it. I won't know in advance whether it is of any importance or not. There is no one here from PAR, Psychological Assessment Resources, Inc.? There is no one here from them?

MR. SAXENA: There is not, Judge. We submit though that the scope of what we are talking about here would be extremely narrow. We are talking about the details of the test or specific questions on the test; not the general findings of the test or what the test tells experts.

THE COURT: Well, they have copyright theories in That would suggest that their psychological tests are possibly not accepted by other psychologists. I doubt that that's the case, but it would suggest it.

MR. SAXENA: As do I, Judge.

THE COURT: What?

MR. SAXENA: I agree with you that they are widely accepted which we will get to.

THE COURT: What is the customer?

MR. SAXENA: What is the question, Judge?

THE COURT: I guess she is the customer?

MR. SAXENA: She is the customer, yes.

THE COURT: Yes?

MR. SAXENA: She is the customer, Judge.

THE COURT: Is the company aware that Ms. Pearson is going to be a witness in this case?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SAXENA: Pardon me, Judge, is the company aware? THE COURT: Yes. Is the company made aware that she will be testifying in this case? THE WITNESS: No. MR. SAXENA: I don't believe they are. THE COURT: Shouldn't they be made aware? THE WITNESS: Is it OK to say anything? I mean, psychological tests -- as a licensed

psychologist, we are allowed to purchase and use psychological tests, but it's also our obligation to attempt to protect the materials from public dissemination, otherwise, they lose their validity. So that's why they provide that letter when you're testifying in Court that that is the case.

THE COURT: Have you made them aware that you're going to testify?

THE WITNESS: No. This specific case? No. consulted them in general as to what to do, and that's why they sent me the letter, but I didn't say that I was specifically testifying in this case.

THE COURT: Do you want to notify them? I'll take a break and I'll take another witness if you want to notify them about testifying so they have time to hire an attorney if they want to object.

MR. SAXENA: Judge, maybe we can take five minutes to do that?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: It's going to take more than five minutes because if they want to object, they will have an attorney.

MR. SAXENA: We don't actually have another witness other than Dr. Pearson.

THE COURT: She's your last witness?

MR. SAXENA: Correct.

THE COURT: Does defense have any objection to taking her out of order?

MR. PARKER: I think the plaintiffs may have an issue with that, but --

> THE COURT: What?

MR. SAXENA: So, Judge, maybe we can avoid this, we are not actually going to get to the testing until well into the testimony, so if we can wait to inform them until that point, we can do it over lunch.

MR. DELANEY: Your Honor, I'm happy to go call them right now. We can proceed with the testimony, and I will get this process started while Mr. Saxena is pursuing his direct.

THE COURT: So they have the opportunity to hire a lawyer if they want to.

In any event, the defense would agree to an interruption and let the witness testify later in the trial?

MR. PARKER: I quess I don't have an objection, but I also don't have an objection to just proceeding at this point.

THE COURT: All right. We'll take a portion testimony

Pearson - Direct

- at this point, just not the results of the tests. 1
- 2 MR. PARKER: Sure.
- 3 THE COURT: Let's go ahead on that basis.
- 4 MR. SAXENA: Judge, we have binders that we can pass 5 out with exhibits that are relevant to this witness.
- 6 We are ready to proceed?

THE COURT: Yes.

- BY MR. SAXENA: 8

- 9 Good afternoon, Dr. Pearson. Ο.
- 10 Α. Good afternoon.
- 11 Would you please introduce yourself to the Court?
- 12 My name is Jessica Pearson. I'm a licensed psychologist in
- 13 New York.
- 14 How did you become involved in this litigation?
- 15 Α. I was asked by attorneys at Paul Weiss to do an evaluation
- 16 of Mr. Arturo Caravantes.
- 17 What sort of evaluation? Ο.
- 18 A psychological assessment in the context of a legal case. Α.
- 19 Did you, in fact, do the evaluation? Q.
- 20 Yes, I did. Α.
- 21 Did you submit a report of your findings?
- 22 Α. I did.
- 23 I would like you to ask you to turn, please, to the tab in
- 24 your binder that says Exhibit 23. Do you see that there is a
- 25 document there that has been marked Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit

C3uQcar4 Pearson - Direct

- 1 23?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Do you recognize that document?
- 4 | A. I do.
- $5 \parallel Q$ . What is it?
- 6 A. It is the forensic psychological assessment of
- 7 Mr. Caravantes that I submitted.
- 8 Q. Is that your signature on the cover?
- 9 | A. It is.
- 10 | Q. Did you submit it on May 27, 2011?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 Q. You use the word forensic when you read the title. What
- does that word mean in this context?
- 14 A. Well, as it applies to psychology, it is the application of
- 15 psychological principles or methods to a task faced by the
- 16 | legal system.
- 17 Q. Is forensic assessment the type of work you do as a
- 18 psychologist?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. I would like to ask you to please turn to page 19 of 25 in
- 21 | Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 23. Let me know when you are there.
- 22 A. Yes, there.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. Do you see the notation Appendix B at the top of the page?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Do you recognize what appears below that notation?

Α. Yes.

- 2 What is it? Q.
- 3 This is my CV. Α.
- 4 I would like to ask you a few questions about your Q.
- 5 educational and professional background referring to your CV.
- OK. 6 Α.
- 7 What is your educational background?
- I obtained my bachelor's degree from Vassar College and 8
- 9 then a master's and Doctor in Psychology from Yeshiva
- 10 University which I completed in 2003.
- 11 Q. Was part of your education learning about psychological
- 12 assessment?
- 13 Basically, from day one of the doctoral program we Α. Yes.
- 14 start training in academics and clinical work learning about
- 15 psychological assessment.
- You mentioned academics and clinical work. Can you expound 16
- 17 on that distinction?
- Sure. We have -- we're required to take four years of 18
- academic courses which include courses on psychometrics and 19
- 20 aspects of the administration of psychological testing, and
- 21 then we're required to do a number of clinical training
- 22 positions while we're taking course work where we apply what we
- 23 learn to clinical settings, so we're doing therapy, assessment,
- 24 evaluation, diagnosis.
- 25 I would like you to look at page 21 of this same exhibit.

Pearson - Direct

1 Do you see where it says clinical training?

A. Yes.

- Q. Does that section of your CV describe the training that you
- 4 | just referred to when you said clinical training?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. So, could you please just describe for the Court, starting
- 7 | from the earliest and then going forward, the nature of your
- 8 | clinical training?
- 9 A. Sure. Actually, it starts on 22. There are externships
- 10 | that we're required to do. All externship means it's a
- 11 part-time position. There is a full time training position.
- 12 So in the beginning of my training, we're doing therapeutic
- 13 services, evaluation, learning about group therapy, and
- 14 starting to focus on psychological assessment and then the
- 15 | actual practice of assessment.
- So the first time I really was specifically involved
- 17 | in training in psychological assessment was at Bellevue at the
- 18 neuropsychological consultation service where we did
- 19 | neuropsychological assessment of individuals with traumatic
- 20 | brain injury or other types of organic problems or medical
- 21 problems.
- 22 | The following year I stayed on at Bellevue for my last
- 23 externship which was the forensic inpatient unit where I
- 24 | focused specifically on forensic assessment and working with
- 25 | forensic patients.

- Pearson Direct
- The externship at Bellevue that you specifically referred 1
- 2 to --
- 3 Mmm-hmm. Α.
- 4 -- is that described in your or can you just tell me Q.
- 5 basically using the CV where that is described?
- Sorry. On the bottom of page 21, the forensic inpatient 6
- 7 unit where it says psychology extern September 2001 to
- 8 May 2002, yes.
- 9 Then does it continue over on to page 22?
- 10 Yes, it does. Α.
- 11 Can you tell me what the forensic male inpatient unit is?
- 12 Just describe the type of patients.
- 13 Sure. So, in New York City there is one hospital that Α.
- 14 provides services for inpatient psychiatric men or male
- patients who are also under arrest. So, on that unit we have 15
- both pretrial detainees and prearraignment detainees. 16
- 17 are all individuals who are needing psychiatric inpatient
- 18 treatment.
- 19 Q. Would any sort of a particular disorders be common among
- 20 that pool of patients?
- 21 A. Yes. We see a lot of severe mental illness, schizophrenia,
- 22 schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder. Then we see a lot
- 23 of adjustment difficulties, depressive disorders, anxiety, and
- 24 trauma-related disorders. Oftentimes these people are perhaps
- 25 being arrested for the first time or experiencing events

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Pearson - Direct

- related to their incarceration that leads to symptoms, so we see both. And then we also see a lot of substance use and a lot of personality disorders.
- 4 What specific training in assessment did you receive there? Q.
  - Well, I started doing -- I mean, every externship has a lot of supervision as a component of it. So you're trained and supervised by licensed clinical psychologists. And I started doing assessments on the forensic unit, so administration psychological testing and writing up psychological assessment both with those patients on that unit and actually in regular civilian patients as well, patients on other non-detained
- 13 And your externship at Bellevue ended in May 2002? 0.
- 14 Α. Yes.

units.

- Did you receive any additional training in forensic 15 Q. psychological assessment following your externship at Bellevue? 16
- So the last step of getting your doctoral degree is 17 I did.
- doing a full year clinical internship, and I did that at 18
- NYU/Bellevue. And I was part of the forensic track, which 19
- 20 meant that there was a focus on forensic psychology. So, two
- days a week I was at Kirby Forensic Psychiatric Center, which 21
- 22 is a maximum secure forensic hospital on Wards Island, and the
- 23 other three days I was doing rotations at Bellevue which I had
- 24 general clinical responsibilities and assessment
- 25 responsibilities there.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Is this portion of your training described on page 21 of your CV in the middle of the page under clinical training? A. Yes.

THE COURT: Is Kirby a part of the NYU/Bellevue? THE WITNESS: It is not. It is just affiliated with NYU for the purposes of training, so they sent a lot of their residents, fellows, psychiatry fellows, psychology interns there for forensic training.

- Q. During your internship, did you receive training specifically in psychological assessment?
- I did. So at Kirby, which I said is primarily forensic, we focused on doing assessment like risk assessment, learning risk assessment measures, competency or restoration for fitness evaluations and then doing general assessment and treatment of forensic patients. And the patients are either there because they're insanity acquittees or they're there for competency restoration.

THE COURT: For what?

THE WITNESS: Restoration of competency to stand trial.

- Q. Do you see on the same page, page 21, the line that says comprehensive psychiatric emergency program?
- Α. Yes.
- 24 Ο. Under your internship?
- 25 Α. Yes.

- Can you tell the Court what that is?
- That's Bellevue psychiatric emergency room. I rotated 2 Α.
- 3 through there as well where you learned to do very quick
- diagnostic evaluation of individuals coming into a psychiatric 4
- 5 emergency room, and determine whether or not they needed
- 6 admission or whether or not they can be released with a
- 7 disposition for a different kind of treatment.
- What sorts of disorders would the patients coming through 8
- 9 there present with?
- 10 A. Many different types. You see a lot of severe mental
- 11 illness where people have decompensated or become severely
- 12 mentally ill from not taking their medicine or for other
- 13 reasons. We see a lot of suicidalities, and then intoxication,
- 14 trauma related, anxiety, and generally people coming in because
- 15 they're, you know, that's where they go when they seek
- assistance for feeling suicidal or feeling homicidal. 16
- 17 On the same page a few lines down, do you see where it says
- 18 the program for survivors of torture?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 Can you tell the Court what that is? 0.
- 21 So NYU/Bellevue has a program for the survivors of torture.
- 22 They provide legal -- I am sorry, not legal -- social, medical
- 23 and psychological services to individuals who are coming from
- 24 other countries looking for asylum and who have claimed that
- 25 they are survivors of torture from their home countries; and so

ar4 Pearson - Direct

- 1 as part of that elective, we took lectures, didactics, training
- 2 | in the assessment of individuals who have experienced torture.
- 3 So a lot of trauma-related disorders, adjustment difficulties,
- 4 depression. And then I did psychotherapy long term with one of
- 5 | the patients and did intake evaluations with those patients as
- 6 well.

7

- Q. When did your internship end?
- 8 A. It ended in June 2003.
- 9 Q. Following your internship -- was that the conclusion of
- 10 your training in psychological assessment?
- 11 | A. No.
- 12 Q. What additional training did you receive?
- 13 A. I applied for a post doctoral fellowship in forensic and
- 14 | clinical psychology also at NYU/Bellevue. I went back to the
- 15 | forensic unit where I had done my externship in 2001, and I was
- 16 there as a fellow. So what that meant was I could now begin
- 17 | not only advancing my own training in assessment and treatment
- 18 | but also begin supervising trainees in assessment and
- 19 | treatment. I continued my work in the psychiatric emergency
- 20 room, and worked, you know, as kind of an almost-staff
- 21 clinician on the unit.
- 22 | Q. Is that post doctoral fellowship described on page 20 of
- 23 | vour CV?

- 24 | A. Yes, it is.
  - Q. Is that near the top of the page?

4

11

13

22

23

24

25

- Yes, post doctoral fellow. Α.
- Do you see there that it says it's a full-time fellowship 2 Q. 3 on the prison ward?
  - Α. Yes.
- 5 During your post doctoral fellowship, did you come across 6 similar types of disorders as to those that you've mentioned 7 already?
- Yes, severe mental illness, adjustment difficulties, 8 9 trauma-related disorders, anxiety, all sorts of problems.
- 10 Did you continue to administer psychological assessments? Ο.
- During that fellowship? Yes, I did. And I also supervised 12 others in their administration and interpretation of psychological testing.
- 14 How does that supervision process work? Q.

responsible for the report.

- So until you're a licensed professional, you have to be 15 Α. supervised on all assessments, and so depending on the level of 16 the trainee, that could be from teaching them how they actually 17 administer the test, teaching them about the test, going 18 through the test after they've administered it, interpreting it 19 20 with them, going over their report and their findings, and then 21 ultimately the licensed individual is the one who is completely
  - And you were the one who was doing the supervising during this time?
  - So, during the fellowship I wasn't licensed until the end

Pearson - Direct

- of my fellowship, so I was learning the process of being the 1 2 supervisor so I actually had my own supervision by a licensed
- 3 professional while I was learning -- while I was beginning to
- 4 supervise other trainees.
- 5 Did you subsequently obtain a professional license?
- 6 I did. Right at the end of my fellowship in
- 7 September 2004.
- And what is that license? 8 Q.
- 9 It's a license in clinical psychology.
- 10 Do you currently continue to hold that license? Q.
- 11 Α. I do.
- 12 Have you ever had any interruption in your holding of that
- 13 license?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 THE COURT: I didn't hear what you said. You dropped
- 16 your voice.
- 17 Q. Have you ever had any interruption in your holding of that
- license? 18
- 19 A. No.
- 20 THE COURT: Let's take a break now. Thank you.
- 21 Come back at 2:05 p.m.
- 22 (Luncheon recess)
- 23
- 24
- 25

C3uQcar4

## AFTERNOON SESSION 1 2 2:45 p.m. 3 (In open court) 4 THE COURT: Call Ms. Pearson. 5 MR. DELANEY: Your Honor, Aaron Delaney. 6 I contacted the Psychological Assessment Research 7 I spoke to somebody on the phone. They had me send them an email and just tell them what was going on; that 8 9 Dr. Pearson was testifying. We've subsequently exchanged 10 emails. They don't intend to testify, and I discussed it with Mr. Parker. 11 12 THE COURT: Or appear. 13 MR. DELANEY: Or appear, exactly. And none of us are 14 going to submit the actual testing materials of records; and 15 when we said that, they said that was fine. 16 THE COURT: All right. 17 JESSICA PEARSON, resumed. 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED BY MR. SAXENA: 19 20 Q. After obtaining your professional license, did you continue 21 to work at Bellevue? 22 I did. I was hired on the staff in -- basically at the end 23 of my post doctoral fellowship in August 2004. 24 When you say you were hired on the staff, did you have a 25 title?

- A. I was hired as a senior psychologist on the men's -- the psychiatric inpatient unit, forensic inpatient unit.
- 3 | Q. Is that position set forth on page 19 of 25?
- 4 A. Yes.
  - Q. Of Plaintiff's Trial Exhibit 23?
- 6 | A. Yes.

- Q. Can you describe your work experience at Bellevue once you joined the staff?
- 9 A. Sure. So I was hired as a full-time psychologist on the
  10 inpatient unit. I was involved in working as a primary
- 11 clinician for individual patients. Part of a treatment team.
- 12 We did evaluations. I completed my own psychological
- assessments but primarily was teaching and supervising trainees
- 14 externs and interns in psychology, psychological assessment.
- 15 Q. Just to clarify, we're talking about the paragraph at the
- 16 bottom of the page?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Page 19?
- 19 A. That's correct, yes.
- 20 | Q. When you say you were involved --
- 21 THE COURT: Let me see what you are referring to.
- 22 | THE WITNESS: It's the bottom one.
- 23 | Q. And it goes over on to the next page, right, the
- 24 description?
- 25 A. Yes.

- On 19, do you see that it says assistant director of 1
- 2 forensic assessment under senior psychologist?
- 3 Yes. Α.

17

- 4 Can you explain what that means? Q.
- 5 It means that I was involved a lot in doing the 6 supervision, and not only the coordination but the supervision 7 and training of externs and interns on the forensic service in psychological testing.
- 9 Q. What was involved in training externs in psychological 10 assessment?
- 11 It was the supervision, so teaching of measures, the 12 supervision of interpretation of test measures, discussion of 13 findings, assistance in report writing, editing report writing, 14 developing formulations or answers to questions that we were 15 being asked in the testing, and then ultimately being responsible for that assessment. 16
  - Q. What sorts of disorders would you come across working in this position?
- 19 A. Basically, the same as when I was on fellowship, again 20 severe mental illness, schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, 21 bipolar disorder, and then a lot of adjustment disorders --22 depressive disorders, anxiety, trauma-related disorders common in incarcerated individuals. 23
- 24 Until when did you work on staff at Bellevue? Ο.
- 25 So I left in 2008 in June, and I started immediately at Α.

Elmhurst Hospital Center basically the week after.

- Q. Is that experience described further up on the same page, page 19 of 25?
  - A. Yes, it is.

- Q. Can you briefly describe for the Court what that position entailed?
  - A. So, that is the unit for at Elmhurst Hospital it is the unit where female forensic detainees who need psychiatric inpatient treatment go within the five boroughs. So we treat women who are in the custody of the department of corrections or pre-arraignment NYPD detainees. And there my clinical responsibilities are very similar to what they were at Bellevue treatment, evaluation, diagnosis, member of a treatment team. But I also took on a number of administrative and supervisory roles when I got there, one of which was coordinating the testing service in the hospital. So I'm the primary supervisor for all testing that occurs in the hospital. I don't do all of the supervision, but I am the coordinator, and then I do a majority of it with externs and interns.

Also, as of fall 2011, I coordinate the psychological externship training program which is specifically in psychological assessment, and that's for two predoctoral externs to come for nine months and train in psychological assessment in the hospital.

Q. And that last position that you mentioned as of fall 2011,

- 1 | is that mentioned on your CV?
- 2 | A. No, it's not.
- 3 Q. At Elmhurst, what sort of disorders would you come across
- 4 | in patients?
- 5 A. We see similar disorders at Elmhurst that you would at
- 6 | Bellevue: A lot of severe mental illness, schizophrenia,
- 7 schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder, major depressive
- 8 disorder. We see a lot of trauma-related disorders as we're
- 9 dealing with the female incarcerated population, so a good
- 10 percentage of them have histories of abuse, domestic violence,
- 11 could potentially be re-traumatized by their incarceration,
- 12 substance use, of course, and personality disorders.
- 13 | Q. Do you remain at Elmhurst today?
- 14 A. Yes, I do.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. Do you know what the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual is?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Can you explain to the Court what it is?
- 18 A. The DSM-IV, it's currently in its fourth revision, the text
- 19 | revision at this point. It was published in 2000. It is a
- 20 | manual or a diagnostic manual that provides descriptions of
- 21 different diagnoses, and its purpose is to help clinicians in
- 22 | terms of categorizing and treatment and intervention.
- 23 | Q. Is the DSM, as you referred to it, widely used among
- 24 psychologists?
- 25 A. Yes.

Pearson - Direct

- 1 Q. And what about other mental health professionals?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Do you know what major depressive disorder is?
- 4 | A. Yes.

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Q. Can you explain to the Court, without giving us all the details, just generally what it is?

7 THE COURT: Is that a definition under the manual?
8 THE WITNESS: It is, yes. It is one of the diagnoses

9 | listed in the manual.

- A. So major depressive disorder is a disorder made up of a major depressive episode in which you have experienced a significant change in functioning, it has to be at least for a two-week period of time, and you have to have at minimum depressed mood every day -- excuse me -- nearly every day or a loss of pleasure in previously enjoyed activities along with a
- Q. Do you know what posttraumatic stress disorder is?

number of other criteria. There's nine other ones.

- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Is that also a disorder defined in the manual?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21  $\bigcirc$  The DSM?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Can you briefly explain what posttraumatic stress disorder
- 24 | is?

25

A. Sure. When the individual experiences a traumatic stressor

- 1 and their response is one of horror, fear or helplessness, then
- 2 there are three categories and subsections of symptoms that
- 3 | they experience in response to that trauma. That would be
- 4 | re-experiencing the event, defensive avoidance or a
- 5 | hyperarousal of the nervous system, physiological responses.
- Q. How long now have you been doing forensic psychological
- 7 assessment?
- 8 | A. I mean, I started training in it in 2001 specifically. But
- 9 | I guess I've been licensed to do it on my own since 2004. So
- 10 eight years -- seven and a half years.
- 11 Q. Over that time period, over those seven and a half years,
- 12 | can you estimate how many patients you would have performed
- 13 | forensic psychological assessments of?
- 14 A. Well, so, psychological assessment would be with any
- 15 patient. So not in a forensic context, and I certainly have
- 16 been involved in and supervised, I would say, hundreds of
- 17 | those; and I would say also forensic psychological assessment
- 18 | since I was a licensed individual, a licensed clinician, I
- 19 | would say that I supervised or completed myself probably
- 20 | close -- over 200.
- 21 | Q. Among those patients, have you performed an assessment and
- 22 came to the conclusion that a patient had major depressive
- 23 disorder on any occasion?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Also among those patients, have you ever assessed a patient

- and concluded that that patient had posttraumatic stress
  disorder?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Would you say that those two disorders, MDD and PTSD,
- 5 are -- with what frequency would you say they occur on your
- 6 patients that you took an assessment of?
- 7 A. In the hospitals, I would say that they are not as frequent
- 8 | as the severe mental illness because it's an inpatient
- 9 population, but they often co-occur, especially PTSD
- 10 co-occurring with other severe mental illness. So less than
- 11 | the most severe major psychotic disorders, but it's common
- 12 | enough. I don't know if I could give you a percentage, but...
- 13 | Q. In addition to your hospital practice, have you done any
- 14 | teaching?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Is that teaching described on your CV?
- 17 | A. It is.
- 18 Q. Could you tell us where?
- 19 | A. It is page 20.
- 20 Q. Could you briefly describe your relevant teaching
- 21 | experience?
- 22 | THE COURT: What page?
- THE WITNESS: 20.
- 24 A. So since the fall of 2004, I have been teaching at NYU
- 25 Graduate School of Arts and Sciences in their master psychology

- program. I teach two different courses there. One is the introduction to forensic psychology and one is an advanced seminar in forensic psychology. And I also at Elmhurst since I've been there since the summer of 2008 I have co-ran or co-facilitated a ten-week forensic psychiatry series with the psychiatrist that I work with on the unit, and that's for psychiatry residents.
- Q. Do you specifically teach psychological assessment?
  - A. There are lectures within the courses on psychological assessment and the evaluation of particular disorders, yes.
  - Q. Among those disorders, have you ever lectured on posttraumatic stress disorder?
  - A. Yes, I have a lecture in both classes on posttraumatic stress disorder, its use in the legal system, and its evaluation clinically.
  - Q. In addition to your hospital practice and your teaching work, do you do any other psychological work?
  - A. I have a private practice that I've had since I got my license in 2004.
- Q. What does that private practice entail?
- A. It at times entails doing psychotherapy with regular people in the community, and primarily it's psychological assessment and the majority of which is in forensic psychological assessment, so I do work for attorneys and testify as needed.
  - Q. Is your private practice also described on page 20 of your

- 1 | CV?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Is that toward the top?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 THE COURT: Where? Where is the top?
- THE WITNESS: Clinical psychologist, private practice at the top of page 20.
- Q. Under that clinical psychologist section, do you see that the last sentence says: "expertise in civil cases including
- 10 | trauma and PTSD assessment"?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Can you just describe generally that experience?
- 13 A. Sure. Almost all of the civil work that I've done has
- 14 | involved the evaluation of trauma-related events and symptoms
- 15 | that stem from those events.
- 16 | Q. Have you ever been qualified as an expert witness?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. How many times?
- 19 | A. Eight.
- 20 Q. In what areas have you been previously qualified as an
- 21 | expert witness?
- 22 A. Clinical psychology, psychological testing, psychological
- 23 | assessment, forensic assessment, competency to stand trial
- 24 | evaluations.
- MR. SAXENA: Thank you. Your Honor, at this time I'd

- like to move to qualify Dr. Jessica Pearson to testify as an 1 expert in forensic psychological assessment. 2 3 THE COURT: Any objection? 4 MR. PARKER: No, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: She is deemed qualified as an expert in 6 forensic psychological assessment. 7 BY MR. SAXENA: Q. Having completed a forensic psychological assessment of 8 9 Arturo Caravantes, have you made a diagnosis? 10 Α. I did. 11 What is your diagnosis? 12 A. My diagnosis was that Mr. Caravantes was suffering from 13 major depressive disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder. 14 I'd like you to take a look at your binder and --Q. 15 THE COURT: Are those primary diagnoses? Are both of them the same, or one is secondary? 16 17 THE WITNESS: Both primary. 18 I would like you to turn in the same exhibit, Plaintiff's 19 Trial Exhibit 23 to page 13? 20 OK. Α. 21 Do you see the text below the heading summary? Q. 22 Α. Yes.
- THE COURT: Just a second until I find the page.

  (Pause)
- 25 (Continued on next page)

4

5

6

7

8

9

Pearson - direct

What is that X, what does it describe?

- Under C, it's a description of the DSM-IV diagnoses, along 2 Α. 3 with the five-axis system.
  - MR. PARKER: Your Honor, I object to the use of the report in the witness' testimony.
  - MR. SAXENA: I'm sorry. I'm not clear. What are the grounds?
    - THE COURT: I think she is supposed to testify on her own without the report.
- MR. SAXENA: You can do that. 10
- 11 THE COURT: How it was conducted.
- 12 BY MR. SAXENA:
- 13 Q. So with regard to -- so you mentioned major depressive 14 disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder as the diagnoses 15 that you reached, is that right?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 With regard to major depressive disorder, is that the full 18 statement of the diagnosis, or was there any other information
- 19 to complete the diagnosis?
- 20 There are specifiers that the DSM allows you to include
- 21 when you give a diagnosis. So the first is whether it is a
- 22 single or recurrent episode. I gave a major depressive
- 23 episode -- excuse me, major depressive disorder, single
- episode, severe without psychotic features. 24
- 25 And what about posttraumatic stress disorder, is that the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

complete description of that diagnosis?

It is. You can say acute or chronic, but I did not specify Α. It is chronic, as it lasted for a sufficient period of time.

THE COURT: What does "chronic" mean?

THE WITNESS: More than three months.

THE COURT: What does "trauma" mean as a psychiatrist making an assessment?

THE WITNESS: What does trauma mean, meaning in general?

THE COURT: Not in general; taking the psychiatric assessment.

THE WITNESS: OK. It means that the individual has experienced something significantly outside of the norm that has created a response in them, one of extreme reaction, emotional reaction, and that, in response, they have developed symptoms because they're not -- they're unable to cope with whatever that event was.

THE COURT: So it is not limited just to the exposure; it also requires development of symptoms in response?

THE WITNESS: I guess if you experience an event and it is not particularly upsetting to you, then it wouldn't necessarily -- and if it is not causing any type of difficulty coping, then I guess I wouldn't classify that as a trauma. would classify it as an event that was upsetting or out of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

But by calling it a trauma it means that you've had some particularly negative reaction to it.

THE COURT: Is it limited to a psychological reaction, or when you have the word trauma, it involves some body blow or something of that sort?

THE WITNESS: Right. That can be a physical trauma, but psychological traumas can also cause symptoms and those symptoms can be physiological in nature. So you can have bodily reactivity, like increased heart rate, sweatiness, and those kinds of responses, and also have psychological responses to that as well both for psychological trauma and for physical trauma.

- BY MR. SAXENA:
- Q. Dr. Pearson, you were just talking about symptoms. Did you make an assessment as to whether any impairment was caused to Mr. Caravantes by his symptoms?
  - MR. PARKER: Your Honor, there is no foundation laid for any of this testimony.
  - THE COURT: I think you have to tell what she did, as to what she conducted. What did she do? Did she see him? Did she interview him?
- So let's start there, Dr. Pearson.
- 23 THE COURT: What are her findings?
- 24 What exactly did you do in evaluating Mr. Caravantes? 0.
- 25 I met with -- I did a clinical interview over five Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

sessions. So I saw Mr. Caravantes for over ten hours total, four sessions kind of chunked together within two months and then one session almost a year after the first session.

So during that clinical interview, I obtained my symptom report from him. I had behavior observations in how he was behaving in that moment or during those evaluations. a narrative of what he was describing had happened to him. I also took a history, a clinical history. So in addition to that, I also did psychological testing, and I reviewed collateral information that was provided to me.

THE COURT: When you say a symptom report, you obtained a symptom report from him, was that in writing or oral?

THE WITNESS: Oral.

BY MR. SAXENA:

- Q. You mentioned interviews and psychological testing.
- 17 Were there any other components to your assessment of
- 18 Mr. Caravantes?
- Collateral information. 19 Α.
- 20 And what is that? 0.
- Any outside information. So interviews with relevant 21
- 22 individuals, review of mental health records, medical
- 23 documents, and any materials that are provided that are
- 24 relevant to the individual's assessment.
- 25 And have you asked us to prepare a slide to help OK.

- illustrate this process?
- 2 Α. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- MR. SAXENA: Randall, could you please put up the slide.
  - Q. So, Dr. Pearson, I would like you to go into a little more detail about the things you just mentioned that were components about the assessment.

What is a symptom report?

- A. So during clinical interview you ask about the individual's symptoms, what they have been experiencing, how they have been feeling, changes that they've had, and, you know, there is a mental status exam that asks very specific questions about certain symptoms.
- And what are behavioral observations?
- So it's my assessment of how the individual is presenting during the interview. So I want to get a measure of whether they're being consistent in how they're describing themselves as to what I see. So if someone says that they're depressed but they appear super happy in front of you, that would be inconsistent; or if they're telling you they're anxious, the consistency measure is are you seeing some evidence of anxiety in front of you as well, especially when they are talking about anxiety-provoking information.
- What is a narrative? Ο.
- So a narrative, it depends on the type of evaluation you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are doing, but in this case a narrative would be what is the individual's description of what happened to them of the traumatic event or events.

- Why is the narratives -- why do you do the narrative? 0.
- Again, this is not only for information but you also -this is another way of getting at a measure of consistency. So if someone is describing something traumatic and you're observing them and watching them when they're telling you what happened, and you would expect that there would be some consistency in their emotional reaction while they're talking about it. And you want to know about whether there is consistency between what they're saying happened and the symptoms that they're reporting.

So if they're talking about, let's say, a car accident but then they're telling you that they react when they are in a movie theater, that would be a little inconsistent unless perhaps it was to a movie of a car accident. So, again, more consistency.

- And why do you take the patient's history?
- So it's important to know how the person was functioning before you started assessing them. So you want to know about their early history. You want to know about previous traumas. You want to know about their educational and their employment history, and you're trying to get a sense of what was their premorbid or pre-event functioning to see if something could be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

contributing to their current symptoms other than what they are describing.

- Is this clinical interview process you have described widely used among psychologists?
- I would say yes. Α.
- So let's move on to number 2 on the slide there.

THE COURT: How do you know?

THE WITNESS: That's how I was trained by many psychologists, yes, and how I trained other psychologists.

- Q. Let's move on to number 2, Dr. Pearson, the psychological testing. What is psychological testing?
- Psychological testing is a component of psychological assessment where you administer, score, interpret psychological test measures that have been designed to assess specific pieces of information.
- Q. And can you explain the two bullets that appear under that slide, personality functioning and psychopathology, and then the second bullet, response style?
- So personality functioning and psychopathology: Psychopathology are the symptoms, basically what pathology is the person experiencing, what psychological or psychiatric symptom.

Personality functioning is more how the person -maybe how those symptoms affect their functioning in the world or what kind of interactions they have with other people.

2

3

4

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

And response style is are they trying to portray themselves in an inaccurate way, let's say, a more positive or more negative manner, or are they even paying attention to the test itself when taking it.

- Q. Is the type of psychological testing that you described widely used by psychologists in the field?
- Α. Yes.
- 8 Q. How do you know that?
- 9 My own training, text materials, in the field.
- 10 And you started explaining collateral sources earlier.
- 11 What are those?
  - So collateral sources can be interviewing other individuals who have the opportunity to observe the person that you're evaluating and any relevant materials, especially in this kind of context, mental health records by other clinicians or individuals who have seen that person in different contexts of
- 17 their treatment or evaluation.
- 18 What do those collateral records tell you?
- 19 Α. Well --
- 20 THE COURT: Have you examined any collateral records 21 here?
- 22 THE WITNESS: I did.
- 23 THE COURT: What collateral records?
- 24 THE WITNESS: Well, I interviewed Mr. Caravantes'
- 25 wife, was who was the first collateral source. And I would say

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

22

23

24

25

Pearson - direct

five or six separate clinicians' documents, mental health 1 clinicians' evaluations of Mr. Caravantes over a four-year 2 3 period from 2008 to 2012.

THE COURT: Previous psychologists that have consulted with him; is that what you are saying?

THE WITNESS: Not only psychologists but mental health clinicians; so social workers, psychiatrists, psychologists, yes.

- BY MR. SAXENA:
- 10 Were you able to successfully employ this method that you 11 described with Mr. Caravantes?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 And have you prepared a slide to illustrate how you did so? 0.
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 MR. SAXENA: Can we put that up, please, Randall.
- Can you describe the interviews that you had with 16
- 17 Mr. Caravantes?
- So Mr. Caravantes' primary language is Spanish, so 18 there was an interpreter present during my evaluations of him. 19

I met with him, as I mentioned before, on four occasions in 2010, kind of the beginning of 2010. During those evaluations, Mr. Caravantes, I did a clinical interview with him. He described symptoms that he was experiencing. Those symptoms were depressive symptoms and anxious symptoms, primarily in those two categories.

Symptoms such as depressed mood, isolation from friends and family, social withdrawal, lack of enjoyment in previously-enjoyable activities, difficulty sleeping, disruptive sleep once he fell asleep, awaking, hopelessness, guilt, helplessness, disruption in his marriage, and suicidal ideations that have occurred subsequent to his no longer working at Remi.

He also endorsed — described symptoms, trauma-related symptoms. So those are anxious, anxiety symptoms, things like nightmares; flashbacks, which is the experience of reliving an event. So when he would have sexual relations with his wife, he would feel as if Mr. Velandia was present, which was very distressing to him and cause him to not want to engage in sexual relations with his wife. He had intrusive memories of the sexual experiences that he had had.

He was avoiding aspects -- he was avoiding restaurants. He was avoiding his friends that he used to have at the restaurant. And he was irritable and had difficulty concentrating.

So that was the general symptoms -- that was actually more specific. That was the specific symptom report.

- Q. How many total hours did you meet with Mr. Caravantes, approximately?
- A. Over ten.

Q. Is that a typical amount of hours to assess someone?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

It's a bit more. It is more than the typical amount of time, and, actually, it's unusual in my experience to get to see somebody over a full year period of time. Usually the evaluation is within, you know, a couple of months or even within two weeks or three weeks. But in this case I had the opportunity to observe and hear about Mr. Caravantes' symptoms over a full-year period of time. So I got my own internal measure of consistency in addition to all the other information that we talked about already.

- Q. And the January 13, 2011 interview, was that the last interview you had of Mr. Caravantes?
- Α. Yes, it was.
  - Q. Did Mr. Caravantes have the same symptom report at that meeting?
    - So that meeting happened, I guess, shortly or sometime Α. after he had been a party -- experienced or sat through Mr. Velandia's deposition.

So when I saw him he had said he had been doing better, that his symptoms had improved, until the deposition --

MR. PARKER: Your Honor, I am going to object to that.

THE COURT: I will have to read the testimony.

(Pause)

MR. SAXENA: Go ahead.

I'm sorry. Just wait one second.

25 (Pause)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I think you can go into that on cross-examination, Mr. Parker.

BY MR. SAXENA:

- So could you tell us about the symptom report at that 0. January 13, 2011 meeting?
- A. Yes. So he had said that his symptoms had worsened -- some of them that had improved had worsened after the deposition. And what he described as having to sit through what he had to listen to during that testimony during the deposition was very, very upsetting to him, and he began to have some of the same suicidal and aggressive thoughts that he had had prior to my

I was concerned about him. I actually did a full risk assessment to make sure that he was safe to leave, that he wasn't going to go hurt himself in any way. And I did not think he was and that it was more passive thoughts, but it was still worsening of those symptoms.

Q. I would like to move on now to the behavioral observations that you made during your interviews --

THE COURT: Let me ask you.

THE WITNESS: Sure.

first evaluation with him.

THE COURT: Did you go into the content of the deposition, as to what was said during the deposition?

THE WITNESS: He did give me a couple of examples that he found particularly upsetting. You know, what was making him Pearson - direct

```
1
      so upset was that he would --
               THE COURT: Did he tell you what the questions were
 2
 3
      that upset him?
 4
               THE WITNESS: Not the questions; I guess the answers
5
      from --
               THE COURT: The answers?
 6
 7
               THE WITNESS: What Mr. Velandia said in his deposition
8
      about Mr. Caravantes Mr. Caravantes felt very upset about.
9
               THE COURT: Did he tell you what they were?
10
               THE WITNESS: He did.
11
               THE COURT: What did he tell you?
12
               THE WITNESS: This is not a quote, but things that
13
     Mr. Velandia had described in his letters, which he disagreed
14
      with and found very shameful and upsetting, and that the
15
     process -- that what had happened between them had been
      described as consensual when he doesn't believe that it was.
16
17
               THE COURT: All right. What do you mean by described
      in his letters?
18
               THE WITNESS: I don't think I said "letters." I'm not
19
20
      sure what letters --
21
               THE COURT: That's how I read your answer.
22
               THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. What does it say that I
23
      said.
24
               THE COURT: You said Mr. Velandia had described in his
25
      letters --
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Lovers, that they were lovers, like consensual lovers.

THE COURT: I see.

THE WITNESS: When Mr. Caravantes believes they were not.

## BY MR. SAXENA:

- Q. Were there any other observations that you left out, or was that it?
- I hadn't said any observations yet.
- I'm sorry. Were there any other things that Mr. Caravantes Ο. related to you about the deposition, or are you done answering?
- I am done answering. None that I recall.
- Moving on to your behavioral observations during these interviews with Mr. Caravantes, can you explain what those were?
  - So I observed Mr. Caravantes as to be quite dysphoric, which means with depressed affect or emotional expression. He became tearful many times when talking about the loss of his job or what had happened with Mr. Velandia.

He was very anxious, made poor eye contact, was playing with his backpack a lot, unable to look at myself or the interpreter while speaking. He definitely had poor concentration. He needed to be refocused a number of times to kind of reorient him back to what we were talking about.

And did these behavioral observations remain constant OK.

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

Pearson - direct

- over the course of all of your interviews, or did they vary at 1 all? 2
- 3 A. I would say the majority remained consistent over time.

THE COURT: Are you still talking about the interview after the deposition?

I'm sorry. All of them. Over the THE WITNESS: No. five times I met with him, he presented as depressed and anxious consistently.

- BY MR. SAXENA:
- 10 Did you also collect a narrative from Mr. Caravantes?
- 11 Α. I did.
- 12 Did you do that at each interview?
- 13 No, I did not. Α.
- 14 When did you do it? Q.
- 15 Α. You know, I would have to -- I don't know. I don't recall 16 at which -- definitely parts of the first and part of the 17 second, but there were also follow-up questions that I asked at different times and so I don't have an exact date. 18
  - THE COURT: Is your testimony you didn't take a complete statement from him on the first interview?
- 21 THE WITNESS: No, but there are always more questions 22 to ask once you kind of let the information, which is why --
- 23 THE COURT: But it covered the entire time period, the 24 first one?
- 25 THE WITNESS: Yes. It covered his narrative, and then

I went into depth about certain things I needed to go back and ask different questions about.

But I would have to check whether that was either the first or second time. I don't always do it the first time because it is very emotionally arousing, so sometimes I like to let the person have a relationship, you know, do part of the interview, establish some type of rapport with the person before I then ask them to tell me incredibly upsetting or personal information. So I would have to go back and look whether I did it the first or second time. But that's why I always like to have more than one interview.

BY MR. SAXENA:

- Q. What was Mr. Caravantes' narrative?
  - A. Mr. Caravantes explained to me that he had been working at Remi for many years; that sometime around 2005 -- I guess prior to what he described as his job being threatened, or being threatened to be fired, that Mr. Velandia had made attempts at -- you know, touching him or engaging in some type of sexual interaction; that he had rebuffed; and then after he was -- that his job was threatened. He felt that he needed to submit to these sexual advances because Mr. Velandia was the right-hand man or the manager of -- Mr. Pistorio.

So it began as over-the-clothing touching and moved to under-the-clothing fondling, and then there were many episodes of oral sex where oral sex was performed on Mr. Caravantes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

Pearson - direct

And then at some point there were no more -- I guess, between 1 10 and 20 episodes of anal intercourse. 2

THE COURT: Remind me, when did these interviews begin? On February 4, 2010?

THE WITNESS: That was the first one.

THE COURT: And the others were February 17 and February 24?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: April 2, and January 13, 2011?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

## BY MR. SAXENA:

- Q. And why was taking Mr. Caravantes' narrative necessary in this case?
- 14 A. Well, number one, I wanted to hear what he had to say, 15 obviously hear what happened; the information is important. I'm also looking to see whether the symptoms that he's 16

particular type of trauma or that reported story, narrative.

reporting are consistent with what you might expect from that

And it was.

- Q. And, I'm sorry, could you expound on the end of that answer? You said, "it was." What do you mean by that?
- 22 The symptoms that Mr. Caravantes was reporting to me, along
- with -- and I will talk about this next -- the collateral 23
- 24 information and the testing, that these symptoms were
- 25 consistent -- flashbacks, nightmares, intrusive memories of

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- unwanted sexual activity -- this is all consistent with the narrative that he's describing to me, where he's reporting that he's engaging or submitting to unwanted sexual activity at work.
- Did you supplement your expert report in this matter?
- 6 Α. I did.
  - Can you describe to the Court what you did to supplement your report, or what that supplement contained?
    - A. Yes. So I was asked a question at the deposition, at my deposition, about who penetrated, or I guess -- I don't remember the exact question right now, but whether or not Mr. Caravantes penetrated Mr. Velandia or whether Mr. Velandia penetrated Mr. Caravantes and what Mr. Caravantes had told me. And in my deposition I reported that Mr. Caravantes -- that it was my understanding that Mr. Caravantes had reported that

However, after the deposition I refreshed my recollection and that Mr. Caravantes did not say that to me. That was an assumption I made based on my interview with him, where he said that he had had anal intercourse with him. so I wrote the supplement to correct my statement -- my error at the deposition. And also to say that --

THE COURT: How did you correct it?

Mr. Velandia had penetrated him.

THE WITNESS: By stating that Mr. Caravantes did not say that to me. So my error was that --

25

1 THE COURT: Did you say what he did say? 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. In my correction? 3 THE COURT: Yes. 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. Which was that he said 5 Mr. Velandia had anal sex with him, not that he was ever 6 penetrated. 7 THE COURT: Had what? THE WITNESS: Had anal sex -- anal intercourse with 8 9 But my assumption was who was penetrating --10 THE COURT: What do you mean by that? 11 THE WITNESS: Well, that was the misunderstanding. made an assumption as to what that meant. 12 13 THE COURT: What does that mean to you? 14 THE WITNESS: Now? 15 THE COURT: No. 16 THE WITNESS: Now it means it is ambiguous. 17 THE COURT: You corrected it? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Why did you correct it? 20 THE WITNESS: Because originally what I misunderstood 21 that to mean was that Mr. Velandia had penetrated 22 Mr. Caravantes. But that's not what it meant. It was an 23 ambiguous statement that I did not clarify in the moment

THE COURT: What did you ascertain -- or how did you

because I made an assumption about what it meant.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ascertain what it meant?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I don't know that I'm -- as I was doing the interview, my assumption, based on what he said --

THE COURT: Another interview?

THE WITNESS: No, this was back then. This is while I was doing my interview.

THE COURT: Was this after you gave your deposition.

THE WITNESS: No. One of the interviews from the five interviews that I did, we talked about the anal sex, right, the narrative, and within that narrative he told me that Mr. Velandia -- that he and Mr. -- that Mr. Velandia had had anal intercourse with him. My assumption while we were doing that interview was that -- was what that meant as to who was penetrating who. However, that was my assumption. I didn't clarify it.

THE COURT: In order to have intercourse, there has to be penetration, right?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Well, yes. Yes.

THE COURT: I mean, anal penetration.

THE WITNESS: Yes. But the who was doing it to who was the part that I --

THE COURT: I understand. Why did you change it?

THE WITNESS: Because I was not correct in assuming what that sentence meant.

1 THE COURT: How do you know you were not correct? THE WITNESS: How do I know I was not correct? 2 3 don't believe I was correct because no other information 4 suggests that Mr. Velandia ever penetrated --5 THE COURT: What other information? THE WITNESS: So the deposition that Mr. Caravantes 6 7 gave, there is -- I guess all of the other relevant information that I subsequently know. 8 9 THE COURT: You reviewed that before, hadn't you? 10 THE WITNESS: Before I met with him? No. 11 THE COURT: No. Before your deposition. 12 THE WITNESS: Before my deposition, yes. But it was 13 not a detail that -- within this narrative of this three-year 14 period of unwanted sexual behavior, it wasn't a detail as --15 you know, it was an event that occurred fewer times than any of the other sexual contact. It wasn't a detail that I 16 17 specifically focused on as much as the overall description of unwanted sexual behavior over time. So.... 18 19 THE COURT: So there was nothing -- you didn't talk 20 with anyone or review it with anyone? 21 THE WITNESS: Review what? 2.2 THE COURT: Your change. 23 THE WITNESS: Oh, the change? I mean, I submitted 24 that supplement with the correction. I mean, I made an

addendum to my report; is that what you mean?

25

Α.

No.

1 THE COURT: You didn't talk with anyone before you 2 made that supplement? 3 THE WITNESS: I mean, at the deposition, yes. At my 4 deposition, yes. THE COURT: Was the change made based on what you 5 learned in that conversation? 6 7 THE WITNESS: Well, and a number of other things, yes, reviewing of other information, yes. 8 9 THE COURT: What other information? 10 THE WITNESS: Going back and seeing what the 11 deposition of Mr. Caravantes said, where he gave that testimony 12 as well. But I didn't go back and talk to Mr. Caravantes. 13 THE COURT: Was it brought to your attention by 14 someone? THE WITNESS: Yes. I was asked about it at the 15 deposition, and, yes, it was brought to my attention. 16 17 THE COURT: By who? 18 THE WITNESS: By the attorneys I was working with. THE COURT: The attorneys for whom? 19 20 THE WITNESS: For Mr. Caravantes. 21 BY MR. SAXENA: 22 Q. And having supplemented your report in this manner, 23 Dr. Pearson, did your supplement cause you to change your 24 diagnosis from what it had previously been?

Q. Why not?

1

- As I was just describing, the episodes of anal intercourse, 2 Α.
- 3 whoever penetrated whoever, still is a boundary violation, a
- 4 physical violation, which is what contributed to my diagnosis
- 5 in the first place, and that these episodes of anal intercourse
- 6 were some of what were described as many unwanted sexual
- 7 behaviors that occurred over a three-year period of time. So
- it did not change my opinion. 8
- 9 BY MR. SAXENA:
- 10 And does that mean that you found the symptoms to still be
- consistent with the narrative? 11
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 Even after supplementing it? 0.
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 THE COURT: Did you have an interpreter present?
- 16 THE WITNESS: I did have an interpreter present.
- 17 Can you tell us about your interpreter, Dr. Pearson?
- 18 THE COURT: Do you know from what country the
- 19 interpreter learned Spanish?
- 20 THE WITNESS: The interpreter is a psychologist also,
- 21 and her -- she is from Spain. So her --
- 22 THE COURT: And the other one?
- 23 THE WITNESS: That's it. I had the same one every
- 24 single time.
- 25 THE COURT: She is a native of pain?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

THE WITNESS: She is a native of Spain.

THE COURT: Any other South American country?

THE WITNESS: I don't know the answer to that, whether she has or not. I know she does evaluations in Spanish on clients from many countries, and she has evaluated individuals from Mexico before.

## BY MR. SAXENA:

- Did you also take a history from Mr. Caravantes?
- Yes, I did. Α.
- 10 Can you briefly describe this history that he provided?
- 11 Well, most relevant in this particular case about
- 12 the history is that there wasn't anything particularly
- 13 significant that might lead to a diagnosis. So he provided me
- 14 with his early history, his educational history. There is no
- 15 psychiatric history. He was having some medical concerns
- during the time that I saw him; we talked about that. But 16
- there were no major medical problems in his history. 17
  - His work history was very consistent over a 20-year period of time, and no known psychiatric problems prior to me
- 20 meeting with him -- or prior to, excuse me, these events.
- 21 Q. And do your clinical interviews of Mr. Caravantes support
- 22 your diagnoses of posttraumatic stress disorder and major
- 23 depressive disorder?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 Can you explain how? Q.

8

- The symptoms -- the behavioral observations -- I mean, they
- support it also in the context of the other information, the 2
- 3 psychological testing and the collateral resources. But all of
- 4 that information together provides a consistent picture of
- 5 depressive symptoms and anxious symptoms that meet criteria for
- 6 major depressive disorder and PTSD.
- 7 So I would like to move on to psychological testing.
  - Can you explain to the Court what psychological
- 9 testing you performed on Mr. Caravantes?
- 10 I completed two measures, the Personality Assessment
- 11 Inventory And the Structured Interview of Reported Symptoms,
- 12 which is in the second position.
- 13 Let's start with the Personality Assessment Inventory. 0.
- 14 Α. Yes.
- Can I call that the PAI? 15 Q.
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 What does the PAI test for? Ο.
- 18 It looks at clinical symptoms that the person is reporting,
- personality functioning, meaning how they may interact with 19
- 20 others in the world. And there are validity scales, as well,
- 21 or scales of response style built into the Personality
- 22 Assessment Inventory. And this is a measure that has been
- 23 translated into Spanish -- both of them, actually.
- 24 And is it -- when you say it is translated into Spanish, is
- 25 it approved for use in Spanish speakers?

A. It is approved for use in Spanish speakers. It is most useful in looking at the clinical symptoms. There is less validity or -- the research has been less consistent or clear in its use in looking at the validity scales.

So the translated version hasn't been normed in the same way as the regular version. So when they do a comparison study, they found that the clinical scales are useful, they're applicable to Mexican -- specifically to Mexican-speaking -- the Mexican Spanish-speaking population, but the validity scales they were less certain of. And there hasn't been a lot of follow-up research since, and a new test actually just came out so there won't probably be any more research in that area because of this new test that just came out.

- Q. Can you explain to the Court the distinction between the clinical scales and validity scales that you discussed?
- A. So the clinical scales are directly related -- most of them are directly related to DSM criteria, looking at symptoms and experiences that people are saying they are experiencing.

The validity scales are how was the response style:

How was this person responding to this test? Are they paying attention? Are they trying to look worse or look better? Are they denying certain things? Or are they randomly responding?

Q. So just to clarify, are you more confident -- so what does the norming issue mean for those two scales?

A. That the clinical information that comes out of the PAI is

- more reliable than what's coming out of the validity scales, 1
- which is why you would give the SIRS-2, to hopefully get a 2
- 3 sense of response style.
- 4 Why is the SIRS-2 reliable? Q.
- 5 The SIRS-2 has been found to be reliable in its Spanish
- 6 translation.
- 7 So focusing on the clinical scales of the PAI, what were
- the results of Mr. Caravantes' tests? 8
- 9 The results were primarily consistent with my evaluation,
- 10 my interview, his symptom report, my behavioral observations of
- him. Anxiety both at -- I'm sorry. Affective, cognitive and 11
- physiological symptoms of anxiety, so that's like thoughts, 12
- 13 emotions and physical responses to anxiety; that was reported.
- 14 Depressive symptoms were reported. Feelings of persecution
- 15 were reported. Social isolation and withdrawal. Confusing
- thoughts. All of those things were consistent with what I was 16
- 17 told by him and things that I observed as well.
- 18 Q. You mentioned that the PAI criteria related to the DSM, is
- that --19
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 And how is it related to the DSM? Q.
- 22 A. So the test, when you put in the individual's responses, it
- 23 looks at how the responses line up with DSM-IV criteria, and
- 24 then it gives you options, like closest matches to DSM-IV
- 25 criteria.

C3udcar5 Pearson - direct THE COURT: And when used the word "related," you mean 1 related in results, is that correct? 2 3 THE WITNESS: The results --4 THE COURT: Conclusions? 5 THE WITNESS: Not conclusions. 6 I'm sorry. Can you say the question again? 7 THE COURT: When you say they were related, you mean those sections of the report related, the results? 8 9 THE WITNESS: So the --10 THE COURT: You are not saying that they were somehow 11 related --12 THE WITNESS: I don't know that I'm -- sorry, I don't 13 think I am following your question. 14 THE COURT: -- in the manner in which they were taken? THE WITNESS: No. So the individual provides 15 16 responses to many, many, many questions, and how they respond to certain questions, those questions match criteria for the 17 18

DSM, and then the program of the test tells you best matches.

Right. So, yeah. Right, yeah.

THE COURT: It has more than one meaning.

BY MR. SAXENA:

- Do you recall what DSM criteria the PAI matched up to in
- 23 Mr. Caravantes' case?
- 24 Α. Yes.

19

20

21

22

25 What? Q.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Pearson - direct

- Major depressive disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder 1 with a top, Axis 1, considerations. 2
  - Q. If the PAI gives you a DSM diagnosis, why do you need to do anything else?
    - A. Well, testing -- first of all, one test, or even testing in general is just an aspect of your evaluation. So you're looking for numerous sources of information to provide a consistent picture.

The test is giving you more data points that are providing, again, consistency, but you wouldn't rely just on one tool, one measure, to come to your conclusion.

- So is it your conclusion that the PAI results are supportive of your overall diagnosis?
  - MR. PARKER: Objection.
- THE COURT: Objection sustained to the form of the question.
  - What conclusions have you drawn from the PAI results?
  - That the PAI results are consistent with the rest of the materials, my evaluation, all the other information that I have in making a diagnosis.
- 21 You also mentioned the SIRS-2, S-I-R-S - 2. Q.
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Can you describe that? 0.
- 24 Mm-hmm. So this is a structured interview that is designed Α. 25 to assess response style or feigning or exaggeration of

2

psychopathology.

- What does feigning or exaggeration of psychopathology mean?
- 3 So that would mean someone making up symptoms or
- 4 exaggerating symptoms; you know, they have symptoms but they
- 5 are making them sound worse.
- And how does the SIRS-2 make this evaluation? 6
- 7 Well, it looks at a number of areas that known feigners or
- exaggerators -- known things that they do, and it incorporated 8
- 9 that into an interview. It asks about symptoms that are, you
- 10 know, don't really occur, perhaps. And it compares the
- 11 individual taking the test to known what are called
- 12 dissimulators, or known feigners or exaggerators.
- 13 Q. And what did Mr. Caravantes' results on the SIRS-2
- 14 indicate?
- 15 So overall his score fell in a range that's called the
- 16 indeterminate general range. It means that there is no
- 17 increased likelihood of him feigning or exaggerating his
- 18 symptoms than seen in the base rate population that takes the
- test, like the test is normed done. 19
- 20 I would like to move on to collateral resources. O. OK.
- 21 What were the collateral resources that you consulted?
- 22 So I interviewed Mr. Caravantes' wife, Martina Caravantes,
- 23 also with the same interpreter. And I reviewed medical records
- 24 and mental health records that spanned 2008 to 2012.
- 25 You also had previously mentioned Mr. Caravantes'

deposition.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- I reviewed other documents that were provided to me, including depositions and other -- the civil complaint and other items like that.
  - Q. Are certain of these item more or less important in your analysis?
    - A. Everything is important, but in terms of -- again, I was asked to do an evaluation of the individual's, you know, do a psychological assessment within this context, and so collateral resources that provide information related to consistency of symptom report and evaluation of him psychologically are most relevant to my evaluation.
  - Why did you interview Mrs. Caravantes? 0.
  - Because she sees him -- she, as his wife, would potentially see him the most and would be able to provide an outsider's perspective on his behavior. So she's doing her own behavioral observations of him, and I want to get a sense of if they are consistent with what he's telling me and with what I'm seeing.
  - What perspective did she provide to you?
  - When I met with her, she was not aware of all of the circumstances related to the -- she wasn't aware that there was any sexual or unwanted sexual behavior between -- that Mr. Caravantes was reporting. So she thought that he was having problems at work or something related to work.

And what she said was quite consistent with what

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Caravantes had told me about himself. She observed that he was having very significant change in his sleep; that he was waking up in the middle of the night having nightmares; sounding like he was unable to breathe; he wasn't sleeping well; he was despondent from his three daughters with whom he had previously had a very close relationship with; that he was tense with her, irritable. She said he had never been somebody who cursed or yelled but that all -- you know, now he was doing those things towards her. She was very distraught when we were meeting.

And she said that their sexual relationship had dramatically changed. I guess -- I think the timeframe was about within the last -- the two years; I saw her in 2010. And that he had gained some weight. And that his memory and that -- that his memory was worse and that he was distractable and that he spent a lot of time out of house.

THE COURT: When did she say that he had a change that occurred? I thought you said one thing and I just want to be sure what years.

THE WITNESS: So I saw her in 2010 at the second meeting that I met with him, and she said that it had been, I think -- I would have to look back at my report, but I think it was about two years that their sexual -- maybe three, I have to look. But two years, I think, that their sexual relationship had been impaired, that he didn't seem to want to be with her

- Pearson direct
- 1 sexually anymore.
- BY MR. SAXENA: 2
- 3 Q. OK. In addition to interviewing Mrs. Caravantes, what
- 4 other collateral sources were you provided?
- A. There were a number of mental health records that I was 5
- 6 provided. I looked at medical records, too, but the mental
- 7 health records were most relevant. And I reviewed records from
- a number of sources. 8
- 9 I would like you to turn, please, in your binder to Exhibit
- 10 22. Let me know when you have it.
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 Do you recognize this record?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 What is it? Q.
- 15 THE COURT: I'm sorry, I don't have it.
- 16 THE CLERK: 22.
- 17 THE WITNESS: It is before my report.
- 18 THE COURT: The first page of 22 is on the board.
- BY MR. SAXENA: 19
- 20 Do you recognize that first page?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 Ο. What is it?
- 23 This is kind of an overview of services that were provided
- 24 by Safe Horizon to Mr. Caravantes.
- 25 What is Safe Horizon?

- Pearson direct
- It is my understanding, it is a service -- an agency that 1
- provides services, including counseling and advocacy, to 2
- 3 individuals who are reporting domestic violence or sexual
- 4 assault.
- 5 Q. Do you see on the bottom of the page there are a series of
- dates under "Client Case Notes"? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
- Do you have an understanding of what those dates are? 8 Q.
- 9 These are the dates that Mr. Caravantes saw a counselor
- 10 named Ms. Castillo.
- 11 Is that Hilda Castillo whose name appears at the top of the
- 12 page?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 In the same exhibit, Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 22, I would
- like you to flip to page 28, the last page. 15
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 Did you review this document?
- 18 Α. I did.
- And what is that? 19 Q.
- 20 This is the first session he had with Ms. Castillo on
- 21 July 18, 2008.
- 22 And did you find it significant in making your assessment?
- 23 I did. I found the information useful. This is -- a
- 24 couple of things are of note. One is, again, there is -- this
- 25 is the first time I had the opportunity to observe or there

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

seems to be any documentation of his symptoms and how he's reporting -- and what he's reporting has happened. So I used this to get a timeline of symptoms. This is still while he's working at the restaurant so it's giving a sense of how he is feeling and what's happening to him prior to his leaving the restaurant or no longer working at Remi.

And, again, there is a piece of the narrative that is consistent, where it says that the manager forced him to perform sexual favors if he wanted to keep his job. And his symptomatic response to that, where he gets visibly upset, describing distressing images, feeling shame and guilt, experiencing nightmares and distressing dreams. Again, this is one additional piece of consistency in the symptom report and the narrative that I used in my evaluation.

- I actually should have asked this earlier. Q.
- Did you prepare a slide concerning these records? 16
- 17 Α. Yes.
  - Or did you have us prepare a slide?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 MR. SAXENA: Can we see that slide now?
- 21 Did you prepare a slide specifically about Ms. Castillo's 22 report?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 MR. SAXENA: Can we see that one?
- 25 When were these prepared, the slides? THE COURT:

Pearson - direct 1 THE WITNESS: I had asked them to prepare the slides. I don't know the date that the slide was prepared. 2 3 THE COURT: And when was that? THE WITNESS: Within the last two weeks. 4 BY MR. SAXENA: 5 Q. So this information that appears on the slide, is that the 6 7 symptom report that you referred to previously? 8 THE COURT: Did you give them anything to prepare the 9 slide from? 10 THE WITNESS: Did I give them anything? No. All the 11 materials were here already. 12 THE COURT: All of what? 13 THE WITNESS: All of the information on the slides are 14 in the materials. 15 THE COURT: Yes. But did you point out the 16 information you wanted included on the slide? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, we discussed it. Yes. 18 THE COURT: And when did you do that? 19 THE WITNESS: Within the last two weeks, two weeks 20 ago. 21 THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. SAXENA: OK, Randall, you can go to the next

22

slide. 23

25

24 Still staying within Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 22, O. OK.

Dr. Pearson -- and, Randall, you don't have to put this up --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

25

Pearson - direct

THE COURT: What is on the board now? 1

MR. SAXENA: This is just the next slide, your Honor.

THE COURT: How do I identify the slides in my notes?

I have to write an opinion here.

MR. SAXENA: We can distribute hard copies of the slides and identify them now. We can do that.

THE COURT: Get the slides marked so that I can identify them. They have got to be in my record.

MR. DELANEY: I am going to mark them for identification, your Honor.

MR. SAXENA: Your Honor, we are going to mark the slides as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 200, for identification.

THE COURT: 200-A, B, C, D, or something?

MR. SAXENA: For each slide, OK.

THE COURT: To remind me of the testimony.

MR. DELANEY: For identification?

THE COURT: As long as it is in the report and not confusing.

- BY MR. SAXENA: 19
- 20 Q. Dr. Pearson, without discussing the slides for now, let's

just focus on Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 22 in your binder.

- 22 Α. Mm-hmm, yes.
- 23 Could you flip, please, to page 27. 0.
- 24 Α. Yes.
  - Did you review this document --

- Α. Yes.

- 2 -- in preparing your assessment? Q.
- 3 Yes, I did. Α.
- And what is this document? 4 Q.
- 5 This is the second session that Mr. Caravantes had with
- 6 Ms. Castillo. Again, there is more description of his
- 7 The fact that he's avoiding family, keeping to symptoms.
- himself, becoming, you know, socially isolated, feelings of 8
- 9 anger and rage, feeling very emotional, becoming tearful,
- 10 presenting with some anxiety.
- And what is the date of this session? 11
- 12 This is July 29, 2008.
- 13 And why was this significant, or was this significant in 0.
- 14 your evaluation?
- 15 I mean, again, this is providing more -- not only his
- symptom report but Ms. Castillo's behavioral observations of 16
- 17 him while he is giving this report. He's also still working at
- 18 the restaurant. And this is giving me again a timeline of his
- 19 symptoms and a measure of consistency.
- 20 Please flip to page 26 of 28 in the same trial exhibit. 0.
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 Did you review this document in preparing your assessment? Ο.
- 23 Yes, I did. Α.
- 24 Ο. And what is it?
- 25 This is the third session, which takes place on August 14, Α.

2008.

1

- And did you find that significant as well? 2
- 3 A. Yes. I mean, again, it's providing -- so Mr. Caravantes is
- 4 still working at the restaurant but he's talking about having
- 5 problems, and, again, presenting with worry and tension,
- symptoms of anxiety. He's feeling overwhelmed. So, again, 6
- 7 just more consistency of his symptoms.
- Q. And can you please -- I'm sorry, what is the date on that 8
- 9 slide?
- 10 August 14, 2008. Α.
- 11 Can you please flip to page 25 of 28 of the same trial
- 12 exhibit?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 Did you review this document in making your assessment? Q.
- Yes. 15 Α.
- And what information did you gain from this document? 16
- 17 So this is the fourth session. And this is where
- 18 Mr. Caravantes reports to Ms. Castillo that he was laid off, or
- 19 lay off; that the manager told him to pack his belongings, that
- 20 he doesn't work there anymore. And he's emotionally
- 21 distraught, again reporting distress, taking long walks,
- 22 describing anger, confusion, disbelief, etc. And this is
- 23 August 27, 2008.
- 24 Did you also review what is page 24 of 28?
- 25 Α. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. And what is that?
- A. This is session five, again, with Ms. Castillo.

3 She describes him as appearing flat, meaning no

4 affect, or like a blunted constricted affect. He's having --

5 he's reporting symptoms again, difficulty sleeping, nightmares.

He's isolating himself from friends and family -- or, excuse

me, family, his children and his wife. Now he's talking about

feeling distressed about not having a job, financial hardship.

Again, a measure of consistency.

- Q. Finally, did you review page 23 of 28 in Plaintiffs' Trial
- 11 | Exhibit 22?
- 12 A. So this is the last session that takes place March 23,
- 13 2009.
- 14 Again, appearing worried and anxious. Sees some
- 15 behavioral observations of that by the snapping of the buttons
- 16 and clenching. He's reporting nightmares, difficulty
- 17 concentrating in a course he's taking. He's withdrawing from
- 18 | family, depression. And, again, this is another measure of
- 19 consistency.
- 20 | Q. And looking at all of those entries that we've described
- 21 | together as a whole, meaning Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit 22,
- 22 pages 23 through 28, what information did you gain from them as
- 23 | a whole?
- 24 A. So this is the first interaction he has with some type of
- 25 counselor. It is the first documentation of his symptoms and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

C3udcar5 Pearson - direct

his experiences, of his feelings, and someone else's behavioral observations of him. So this provides useful information to me, again talking about consistency of symptom report, and when these symptoms are really starting to develop and get worse. MR. SAXENA: Thank you.

Your Honor, I believe we have the slides ready.

THE COURT: Show them to Mr. Parker.

MR. PARKER: I have a copy, Judge. Thank you, Judge.

MR. SAXENA: Does your Honor have a copy as well?

THE COURT: I will mark them for the record so that I can review them, the transcript with the slides, when they are referred to.

MR. SAXENA: OK.

THE COURT: You should mark them for identification now.

MR. SAXENA: So for the record, then --

THE COURT: You just have to give the number and letters and say they are the slides with the witness, Ms. Pearson.

MR. SAXENA: OK. So let's view them on the screen, and I will mark them for identification as we see them.

Randall, can you go to --

THE COURT: You can mark them for identification now and just hand them in.

MR. SAXENA: Then I would like to mark, Judge, as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plaintiffs' Exhibit 200, a two-page slide, it has pages 1 and 2, with "Psychological Assessment" at the top of the first page.

THE COURT: That is A? 200A? Or 200-1?

MR. SAXENA: There are different series of slides, your Honor. So this is 200, this one series, and it has got two pages.

THE COURT: All right. So it is Exhibit 200 for identification is admitted for that purpose.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 200 received in evidence)

MR. SAXENA: Also marked for identification, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 201, which is a nine-page slide, which at the top of the first page says, "Arturo Caravantes: PTSD & MDD Diagnoses."

THE COURT: 201, for identification -- it is marked 201 for identification.

Go ahead.

MR. SAXENA: Also marked for identification Plaintiffs' Exhibit 202, which is a five-page slide, which on the front page says "DSM-IV-TR" and then "Additional Effects" follows.

THE COURT: Exhibit 202, for identification.

MR. SAXENA: And, finally, Judge, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 203, which is a one-page slide that says at the top, "Arturo Caravantes: Impairment."

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Pearson - direct

1 THE COURT: That is marked Plaintiffs' Exhibit 203, for identification. 2

All of these will be used by the witness Pearson?

MR. SAXENA: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. You can go ahead. I've got about three or four more minutes before I have to conduct an arraignment and then I have another matter.

MR. SAXENA: Understood, your Honor.

BY MR. SAXENA:

- Q. OK. Dr. Pearson, if you could -- I think we can do this in enough time.
- 12 If you could flip to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 24, in your 13 binder.
- 14 Α. OK.
- 15 Q. Do you recognize that document?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 What is it? Ο.
- 18 This is a psychiatric evaluation completed by a
- Dr. Cervantes on July 18, 2009. 19
- 20 And what is the date of this document? Ο.
- 21 July 18, 2009. Α.
- 22 Did you review it in the course of making your assessment?
- 23 Α. I did.
- 24 Were you able to make out the handwriting?
- 25 Some of it. Α.

OK. Q.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- To the best of my ability, I did, yes. Α.
- 3 What were you able to make out? 0.
  - So there is a history of present illness, which is the Α. initial complaint that the individual comes in with.

And Dr. Cervantes describes that Mr. Caravantes is feeling frustrated, sad, depressed, and anxious since August '08, after he lost his job, and that he reported being abused sexually by a homosexual manager with the promise of getting a better position in the restaurant; that the patient ends up losing his job, and since then he's had intermittent thoughts about the molestation and abuse. That he feels depressed at times. He's got poor sleep.

And it goes on to talk about the rest of his symptoms: Feeling irritable, appetite OK, energy OK, decreased concentration. That he denies manic or psychotic symptoms. That he feels irritable, angry at times. And I think the stressor is that he is unemployed.

And then he provides a little bit of history -- very little, minor stuff -- and then he provides a diagnosis at the completion of the evaluation, and recommends medication.

- Ο. And what diagnosis does he provide?
- The diagnosis here is major depressive disorder recurrent, rule out posttraumatic stress disorder on Axis 1.
- Could you explain what "rule out" means?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

"Rule out" is a term that is used to indicate that Yeah. you are considering the diagnosis as a clinician but you don't -- you may not have enough information or it may not have been enough time -- there may not have been enough time passed for you to make the diagnosis. So it is something that you are

THE COURT: Did you say "ruled" out or "rule" out?

THE WITNESS: Rule. It's rule -- yeah, rule out,

So you're still considering it; you just don't know

10 yet.

11 BY MR. SAXENA:

still considering.

- 12 And you mentioned Dr. Cervantes. Does his name appear on 13 this page anywhere?
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 Q. Where?
- 16 I'm sorry. On page 2 of 3? Α.
- 17 Ο. Yes.
- 18 At the bottom. Α.
- 19 Is that Lober Cervantes, M.D.? Q.
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 THE COURT: Where is that.
- 22 THE WITNESS: At the bottom of page of 2 of 3.
- 23 THE COURT: Oh, the first name?
- 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. Lober.
- 25 THE COURT: The first name of Dr. Cervantes?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

- BY MR. SAXENA: 2
- 3 Q. Lastly, Dr. Pearson, do you see in the middle of the page
- 4 on page 2, under "Diagnosis," there is an Axis 5?
- 5 Α. Yes.

1

- 6 It says "GAF." Ο.
- 7 Α. Yes.
- What does that mean to you? 8 Q.
- 9 The GAF is the Global Assessment of Functioning. It is a
- 10 scale from zero to 100, described in the DSM-IV. And it kind
- 11 of classifies people based on a number, where -- how severe
- 12 their impairment is. So a 55 is -- I think between 51 and 60
- 13 is moderate impairment. And I can't -- I would have to see the
- 14 DSM to tell you exactly what it is, but it is moderate
- impairment in functioning and moderate level of symptoms, or 15
- moderate symptom severity. 16
- 17 THE COURT: Where is the 55?
- 18 THE WITNESS: If you see "Diagnosis" in the middle of
- 19 the page?
- 20 THE COURT: Yes.
- 21 THE WITNESS: It is Axis I, Axis II, Axis III, Axis IV
- 22 and Axis V. Axis V says "GAF" and then "55."
- 23 MR. SAXENA: Can you put up the slide on this?
- 24 might help.
- 25 This is Plaintiffs' 201, page 3.

25

Pearson - direct C3udcar5

1 (Indicating) 2 MR. SAXENA: Your Honor, the parties have a 3 stipulation --4 THE COURT: All right. 5 MR. SAXENA: -- that they have signed. 6 THE COURT: Do you want to read it into the record? 7 MR. SAXENA: Yes, sure. Or we could enter it or I 8 could read it, either way. 9 THE COURT: Do you want to hand it up? 10 MR. SAXENA: I think --11 THE COURT: Then go ahead. MR. SAXENA: I think it might be more efficient that 12 13 way; it is a little long. 14 And as part of that stipulation, Plaintiffs' Exhibits 15 22 and 24, which we just reviewed with Dr. Pearson, are agreed by all parties to be authentic and to be business records. 16 17 THE COURT: Well, let's --MR. SAXENA: And --18 19 THE COURT: I've got the stipulation, signed by 20 Mr. Parker and Mr. Delaney. I want to give it a number. MR. SAXENA: We can mark the stipulation for 21 22 identification, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Well, you both stipulated to it so it

should be in evidence. I just want to give it a number.

MR. PARKER: How about J1?

Pearson - direct 1 THE COURT: Can you do S-1, if you've got more than 2 one stipulation? 3 MR. SAXENA: Let's do S-1. THE COURT: All right. Exhibit S-1 is admitted in 4 5 evidence. 6 (Joint Exhibit S-1 received in evidence) 7 MR. SAXENA: Based on that stipulation, Judge, I would 8 also request that Exhibits 22 and 24 be moved into evidence. 9 THE COURT: Any objection? 10 MR. PARKER: No objection. THE COURT: 22 and 24 are admitted in evidence. 11 12 (Plaintiff's Exhibits 22 and 24 received in evidence) 13 (Continued on next page) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATI	ON
2	Examination of:	Page
3	JESSICA PEARSON	
4	Direct By Mr. Saxena	530
5	PLAINTIFF EXHIBIT	S
6	Exhibit No.	Received
7	22 and 24	603
8	200	597
9	JOINT EXHIBITS	
10	Exhibit No.	Received
11	S-1	603
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
l)	TI CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTO	